**Clinical Practice Guidelines** 

# The Saudi Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and Transplantation clinical practice guidelines for management of autoimmune hepatitis

Abdulrahman A. Aljumah, Badr Al Jarallah<sup>1</sup>, Ali Albenmousa<sup>2</sup>, Abdullah Al Khathlan<sup>3</sup>, Adnan Al Zanbagi<sup>4</sup>, Mohammed Al Quaiz<sup>5</sup>, Bandar Al-Judaibi<sup>6</sup>, Khalid Nabrawi<sup>7</sup>, Waleed Al Hamoudi<sup>8</sup>, Mohammed Alghamdi<sup>9</sup>, Hind Fallatah<sup>10</sup>

Division of Hepatology, Hepatobiliary Sciences and Organ Transplant Center, King Abdulaziz Medical City and King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, Al Qassim University, Al Qassim, Saudi Arabia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>4</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, King Abdullah Medical City, Makkah, Saudi Arabia, <sup>5</sup>Department of Medicine, Section of Gastroenterology, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Rochester, Rochester City, New York State, USA, <sup>7</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Aseer Central Hospital, Abha, Saudi Arabia, <sup>8</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>9</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, King Fahad Military Medical City, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia. <sup>10</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia,

Address for correspondence: Dr. Abdulrahman A. Aljumah, Division of Hepatology, Hepatobiliary Sciences and Organ Transplant Center, King Abdulaziz Medical City, and College of Medicine, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs, PO. Box 225264, Riyadh 11324, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail: draljumah@hotmail.com

# **INTRODUCTION**

Autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) is a chronic and progressive inflammatory liver condition of unknown etiology. It is a common cause of chronic hepatitis, liver cirrhosis, and end-stage liver disease worldwide.<sup>[1]</sup> AIH is a complex disease that represents a challenge in diagnosis and management due to the diversity of the clinical presentation, wide spectrum of the disease, and variable response to treatment.<sup>[2]</sup> Its diagnosis is based on histologic abnormalities, characteristic clinical and laboratory findings, abnormal levels of serum globulins, and the presence of one or more characteristic autoantibodies and exclusion of other known causes of chronic liver diseases. The characteristics of AIH include female predilection, elevations of aminotransferases,

Access this article online		
Quick Response Code:	Website:	
	www.saudijgastro.com	
	DOI: 10.4103/sjg.SJG_159_18	

non-specific or organ-specific autoantibodies, increased levels of immunoglobulin-G (IgG), and interface hepatitis on liver biopsy.<sup>[3]</sup> Several international studies have investigated this disease; however, only limited data is available from the middle-eastern region. In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), although the prevalence of AIH among patients with liver disease is not known, it may be much less as compared to North America and European countries. We applied a systematic methodology to develop guidelines for the management of AIH by reviewing the available evidence, local data, and published international data. A comprehensive literature search of published articles on different aspects of the pathophysiology, epidemiology, diagnosis, and management of AIH was performed. All available literature on the topic was studied

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

**How to cite this article:** Aljumah AA, Al Jarallah B, Albenmousa A, Al Khathlan A, Al Zanbagi A, Al Quaiz M, *et al.* The Saudi association for the study of liver diseases and transplantation clinical practice guidelines for management of autoimmune hepatitis. Saudi J Gastroenterol 0;0:0.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

critically, and the available evidence was then classified according to its importance. International scientific associations' guidelines including, but not limited to, the European Association for the Study of the Liver,<sup>[1]</sup> the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease,<sup>[4]</sup> and the Asia-Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver<sup>[5]</sup> were reviewed. Selected aspects of these guidelines were adopted and modified according to the need for local practice. Part of these guidelines were based on the experience of the authors in the specified topic. The purpose of these guidelines is to provide clinical and systematic approach guidance to gastroenterologists, hepatologists, and general internists in KSA on the diagnosis and treatment of AIH in order to improve the care of affected patients. It should also give physicians in primary and secondary care facilities the privilege to initiate a diagnostic and therapeutic approach on AIH patients before referring them to tertiary care centers. These guidelines are intended to be flexible and simple. The recommendations in these guidelines are based on the best available evidence and are tailored to patients being managed in KSA. They are graded on the basis of evidence as follows:

# Grading of Recommendations:

Grade A: Recommendation based on at least one high-quality randomized controlled trial or at least one high-quality meta-analysis of methodologically sound randomized controlled trials

Grade B: Recommendation based on high-quality case–control or cohort studies or a high-quality systematic review

Grade C: Recommendation based on non-analytic studies (case reports or case series)

Grade D: Recommendation based on expert opinion only.

These guidelines have been endorsed and approved by The Saudi Association for the Study of Liver diseases and Transplantation (SASLT) and represent the position of the Association.

# **EPIDEMIOLOGY OF AIH**

AIH is the most common form of autoimmune liver disease,<sup>[4]</sup> affecting more females than males, with a female:male ratio of 3.6:1.<sup>[4,6]</sup> Saudi studies addressing AIH have reported similar levels of female predominance, with the percentage of female patients ranging from 60.8% in the central region to 75.7% in the western region.<sup>[7-9]</sup> In the largest Saudi multicenter study of AIH, Aljumah *et al.*<sup>[9]</sup> reported a

female:male ratio of 3:2. The age of presentation for AIH exhibits a wide range that extends from adolescence and early adulthood to extreme old age.<sup>[6,10]</sup> In KSA, the mean age of presentation for AIH patients is 32.3-45.4 years, although this age varies greatly as Saudi individuals older than 65 years of age have also presented with this disease.<sup>[7-9]</sup> Comparison with international reports indicate that Saudi patients and patients from India and Italy have similar ages of presentation for AIH.<sup>[11,12]</sup> There is a poor documentation of the prevalence of AIH worldwide. However, it has been estimated to range between 100 and 400 cases per 1 million in different regions from Europe and North America.<sup>[3,13,14]</sup> In comparison, the international prevalence among patients with liver disease is between 11% and 20%.<sup>[15]</sup> In Asian and African countries, where viral hepatitis is endemic, the prevalence of AIH is not precisely known. Although the prevalence of AIH among Saudi patients with liver disease is not known, it is anticipated to be lower compared to North America and European countries. In one study of 112 liver transplant (LT) patients, 14.3% of LT indications were due to AIH.[16]

# **Summary Points:**

- The prevalence of AIH in KSA is not known
- The prevalence of AIH among LT patients from KSA is 14.3%
- AIH can affect a wide spectrum of age groups, ranging from adolescents to those aged more than 60 years. However, young females are more frequently affected
- AIH affects females twice as much as males.

# **CLINICAL PRESENTATION**

# **Clinical features of AIH**

The clinical spectrum of presentation for AIH varies from an asymptomatic elevation of liver enzymes with typical positive immunological markers to severe forms of advanced chronic liver disease, acute hepatitis, and fulminant liver failure.<sup>[1,4-6,17]</sup> AIH studies from KSA have shown that one-fifth to one-fourth of patients were asymptomatic; such patients are diagnosed when evaluated for abnormal liver enzymes.<sup>[7-9]</sup> Another one-fifth of the patients present with either no specific symptoms or only abdominal pain.<sup>[8,9]</sup> Jaundice, the most frequently reported presentation, has been observed in more than 50% of Saudi AIH patients.<sup>[7,9]</sup> Chronic presentations were seen in 37.7% of Saudi AIH patients,<sup>[9]</sup> while cirrhosis with or without decompensation has been reported in 28.8-45.5% of patients.<sup>[7,9]</sup> In KSA, acute presentations of AIH have been reported in 7.5-36.4% and fulminant presentation occurred in 2.8% of patients.<sup>[7,9]</sup> The summary of findings from the major studies on AIH from

KSA is illustrated in Table 1. Another uncommon presentation of AIH involves cholestatic but not hepatocellular elevation of liver enzymes, which raises the possibility of other cholestatic liver diseases.<sup>[18,19]</sup> This presentation is also not commonly reported in KSA.<sup>[7,8]</sup> Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is rarely reported as a complication of AIH.<sup>[1,20]</sup> In KSA, one study has reported that out of 235 patients, only 3 patients (1.3%) developed HCC in AIH-related cirrhosis compared to 178 patients (75.7%) with viral hepatitis.<sup>[21]</sup>

# **Summary Points:**

- AIH has a wide spectrum of presentation, ranging from asymptomatic liver function abnormality to severe acute or chronic advanced liver disease
- One-third of AIH patients have acute presentation
- Jaundice is the most commonly presenting symptom
- AIH should be considered in all patients with acute or chronic liver disease in the absence of other etiology.

# **Classification of AIH**

AIH is classified into two types: AIH type 1 and AIH type 2. AIH type 1, which is the more commonly reported form of AIH in international reports, accounts for 90–95% of cases and is characterized by positivity for anti-nuclear antibody (ANA) and/or anti-smooth muscle antibody (ASMA). Anti-soluble liver antigen (SLA)/liver pancreas (LP) is detected in one-third of patients with AIH type 1 and can be considered as the only positive marker found in AIH patients.<sup>[1,4,5,17]</sup>

AIH type 2 is characterized by the presence of anti-liver kidney microsomal type 1 (LKM1), anti-liver cytosolic antigen type 1, and/or anti-LKM3. This form accounts for less than 10% of AIH patients, predominantly affects children, and commonly involves acute presentation.<sup>[1,4,17,20]</sup> In studies addressing AIH from KSA, most of the reported patients had AIH type 1,<sup>[7-9,22]</sup> and only 7.6% cases had LKM1-positive AIH type 2.<sup>[9]</sup>

 Table 1: Clinical presentation, laboratory findings, and treatment response of autoimmune hepatitis in three major studies

 from Saudi Arabia

Parameters		Study	
	Fallatah <i>et al.</i> [7]	Abdo <sup>[8]</sup>	Aljumah <i>et al</i> . <sup>[9]</sup>
Total number of patients	33	39	212
Age: mean±SD (range)	32.3 year <mark>s (10-65</mark> )	45.4 years	36.2±16.8 years
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)			
Male	8 (24)	14 (35)	83 (39.2)
Female	25 (76)	25 (65)	129 (60.8)
Clinical presentation, <i>n</i> (%)			
Asymptomatic	7 (21)	10 (27)	95 (45)
Jaundice	17 (52)	18 (46)	141 (67)
Abdominal pain	ŇŘ	ŇŘ	22
Acute hepatitis	12 (36)	3 (8 )	65 (31)
Fatigue/non-specific	2 (6)	8 (21)	77 (36)
Fulminant hepatic failure	NR	ŇŔĹ	6 (2.8)
Associated autoimmune disorders, <i>n</i> (%)	6 (18.1)	23 (59)	55 (26)
Laboratory findings*			
ALT	378 (median)	286 (mean)	328 (mean)**
AST	400 (median)	277 (mean)	364 (mean)**
Bilirubin	151 (median)	92. (mean)	131 (mean)**
ANA	19 (58)	18 (47)	132 (62.4)
ASMA	24 (72)	12 (30)	133 (63)
ANA + ASMA	ŇŘ	5 (13)	82 (39 )
LKM1	0(0)	ŇŔ	16 (7.6)
lgG	16 (48)	NR	177 (83)
Advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis	15 (45.5)	15 (38)	61 (28.8)
Treatment regimen, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (6) No treatment	20 (51) PD	200 (94) PD + Aza
6 , ( )	30 (91) PD + Aza	19 (49) PD + Aza	9 (4.2) MMF***
	1 (3) MMF***		5 (2) Tac***
Response rate, n (%)			. ,
Complete response	17 (54.8)	18 (46)	158 (75)
Partial response	11 (35.5)	12 (30)	19 (9)
No response	3 (0.9)	9 (23)	35 (17)

\*Only autoantibodies with significant level was reported; \*\*Liver tests means were extrapolated from the original data of the study; \*\*\*Patients initially received PD + AZA. MMF or Tac was used if no response to standard therapy. AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis; SD: Standard deviation; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; ANA: Anti-nuclear antibody; ASMA: Anti-smooth muscle antibody; Anti-LKM: Liver/kidney microsomal antibody; IgG: Immunoglobulin G; NR: Not reported; PD: Prednisone/prednisolone; Aza: Azathioprine; MMF: Mycophenolate mofetil; Tac: Tacrolimus

# AIH and other autoimmune diseases

Associations between AIH and other forms of organ-specific disorders are not uncommon, and approximately one-fifth of AIH patients have another autoimmune disease.<sup>[1,4,17]</sup> Such diseases include autoimmune thyroid diseases, type 1 diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis (RA), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), inflammatory bowel diseases, and other disorders.<sup>[1,4,9,17]</sup> Saudi studies of AIH have shown varying associations between AIH and other autoimmune disorders, with percentages for such associations ranging from 18%, as reported by Fallatah et al., to nearly 60%, as reported by Abdo et al.<sup>[7-9,23]</sup> In KSA, the most commonly reported autoimmune diseases associated with AIH are autoimmune thyroid disorders and diabetes.<sup>[8,9]</sup> SLE and RA have also been reported.<sup>[7]</sup> Moreover, AIH can be associated with primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) and primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC).<sup>[24-26]</sup> AIH overlap syndrome has been reported in 20% of patients with autoimmune liver disease.<sup>[27]</sup> An overlap can be observed between any of the clinical or laboratory features of the two overlapping conditions. The relationship between the two disorders and the presentations of overlapping disorders can vary. The two disorders can manifest sequentially, co-exist without a clear distinction, or present as discrete conditions.<sup>[24-26]</sup> (See section on "Difficulties in the diagnosis").

# **Summary Points:**

- AIH is commonly associated with other organ-specific autoimmune diseases in more than one-third of patients
- Autoimmune thyroid disorders and diabetes are the most commonly diagnosed autoimmune diseases among AIH from KSA
- In the presence of suggestive symptoms, it is worthwhile investigating for coinciding autoimmune disease in AIH patients.

#### DIAGNOSIS

# Diagnostic criteria and scoring systems

The diagnosis of AIH is made based on a combination of biochemical, serological, and histological findings. The scoring system developed by the international AIH group in 1993 and revised in 1999 is the most widely used scoring system in clinical trials; however, its complexity makes it cumbersome to use in real-life practice [Table 2].<sup>[28,29]</sup> This comprehensive system incorporates several clinical, biochemical, serological, and histological tests together with the response to treatment. A simplified scoring system has been suggested for clinical use, and it consists of 4 criteria based on which the diagnosis of definite or probable AIH can be made [Table 3].<sup>[30]</sup> The original scoring system has a higher sensitivity than the simplified one (100% vs. 85-95%), while specificity was higher in the simplified system (90-99% vs. 73-90%).<sup>[31,32]</sup> The diagnostic accuracy of these systems varies according to the clinical presentation, variant of AIH, and concomitant liver diseases (see section on "Difficulties in the diagnosis" below). The simplified scoring system has been verified in several retrospective trials from different countries and found to be appropriate for clinical use with acceptable accuracy. Utilizing these scoring systems requires exclusion of other liver diseases that may resemble AIH and can get high scores.<sup>[33]</sup> The designation of definite and probable AIH by these two systems may reflect the differences in clinical features between them without a difference in their prognosis or response to treatment.<sup>[34]</sup>

# **Recommendations:**

- AIH patients should be managed by an expert gastroenterologist or hepatologist whenever possible (Grade C)
- Diagnosis of AIH requires exclusion of other causes of liver diseases (Grade B)
- There is no single pathognomonic test for the diagnosis of AIH (Grade C)
- Both the original international AIH group score and the simplified score combined several diagnostic criteria and can be used for the diagnosis of AIH with acceptable accuracy (Grade B)
- Response to treatment has important diagnostic value in the management of AIH (Grade C).

# Laboratory and histological assessment Biochemical changes

Initial assessment of patients investigated for possible AIH should include the measurement of total and direct bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT), aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminases (ALTs), and serum immunoglobulins. There is no specific biochemical pattern for AIH, but the classical change is a hepatitis liver function test (LFT) abnormality with predominant rise in transaminases that can be mildly elevated and may reach thousands.<sup>[7,9]</sup> Bilirubin can be normal, but jaundice was reported in up to 66% cases.<sup>[9]</sup> Jaundice at presentation carries poor prognosis.<sup>[35]</sup> ALP can also be elevated, but GGT rise is more frequently encountered.<sup>[9,36]</sup> Cholestasis can be the presenting feature of AIH, and it is either related to the disease

Parameter	Result	Score	Parameter	Result	Score
Sex	Female	+2	HLA	DR3 or DR4	+1
ALP:AST (ALT) ratio	>3	-2	Immune disease	Thyroiditis, colitis, others	+2
. ,	<1.5	+2	Other markers	Anti-SLA, anti-actin, anti-LC1, p-ANCA	+2
lgG level above normal	>2	+3	Histological features	Interface hepatitis	+3
ANA, ASMA, anti-LKM1	1.5-2	+2	-	Plasmacytic infiltrate	+ 1
	1-1.5	+1		Rosette formation	+1
	>1:80	+3		None of the above	-5
	1:80	+2		Biliary changes	-3
	1:40	+1		Other changes	-3
	<1:40	0	Treatment response	Complete	+2
AMA	Positive	-4		Relapse	+3
Viral markers	Positive	-3			
	Negative	+3	Pre-treatment score	Definite diagnosis	>15
Drugs	Yes	-4		Probable diagnosis	10-15
-	No	+1	Post-treatment score	Definite diagnosis	>17
Alcohol	<25 g/day	+2		Probable diagnosis	12-17
	>60 g/day	-2		-	

Table 2:	The	revised	international	autoimmune	hepatitis	scoring sys	stem
10010 11			meenanoma	aacommano	nopatitio		

HLA: Human leukocyte antigen; ALP: Alkaline phosphatase; AST: Aspartate transaminase; ALT: Alanine transaminase; anti-SLA: Anti-soluble liver antigen; anti-LC1: Anti-liver cytosol antibody type 1; p-ANCA: Perinuclear anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies; IgG: Immunoglobulin G; ANA: Anti-nuclear antibodies; ASMA: Anti-smooth muscle antibodies; anti-LKM: Anti-liver/kidney microsome antibodies; AMA: Anti-mitochondrial antibodies

Table 3: The simplified scoring system for autoimmunehepatitis

Parameter	Result	Score
ANA or ASMA	≥1:40	+1
	≥1:80	+2
Anti-LKM	≥1:40	+2
Anti-SLA	Positive	+2
Serum IgG	>1 ULN	+1
-	>1.1 × ULN	+2
Histology	Compatible with AIH	+1
	Typical AIH	+2
Viral serology	Negative	+2
Definite diagnosis	-	≥7
Probable diagnosis		6

ANA: Anti-nuclear antibodies; ASMA: Anti-smooth muscle antibodies; anti-LKM: Anti-liver/kidney microsome antibodies; anti-SLA: Anti-soluble liver antigen; IgG: Immunoglobulin G; ULN: Upper limit of normal; AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis

or indicates an overlapping PBC, PSC, or autoimmune cholangiopathy.<sup>[18]</sup> Serum IgG is an important diagnostic tool that has been incorporated in the international AIH scoring system.<sup>[29]</sup> It also has a prognostic value since it was found to correlate with the severity of inflammation and fibrosis.<sup>[9,37]</sup> The level of serum transaminases was not found to reflect the severity of the disease but they are best used to monitor disease activity, and thus biochemical remission is widely used as a surrogate marker for response to treatment.<sup>[38]</sup> Moreover, increased AST/ALT ratio was found to correlate with cirrhosis<sup>[8]</sup>

# Auto-antibodies

The presence of circulating autoantibodies is an essential element in the definition of AIH.<sup>[39]</sup> These antibodies are important diagnostic tools, but they are not specific and their absence does not exclude AIH. ANA and ASMA are the hallmark of type 1 AIH, while anti-LKM1 is the marker

for type 2 AIH.<sup>[40,41]</sup> A positive titer for ANA and ASMA is  $\geq$ 1:40 in adults, and a titer of 1:20 is clinically significant in children. Positive ANA and ASMA can be found in 80% and 65% of AIH patients, respectively.<sup>[42]</sup> In Saudi patients, ANA was found to be positive in 62.4% cases and ASMA in 63% cases; they were both positive in 38.7% cases and both negative in 17.2% cases.<sup>[9]</sup> Positive ANA was found in up to 30% and ASMA was found positive in 3-15% of patients with liver diseases other than AIH including non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, hepatitis C virus (HCV), PSC, and PBC.<sup>[43,44]</sup> This might create diagnostic difficulty, and thus correlation with other findings is necessary to establish the diagnosis. The level of ANA does not seem to correlate well with disease severity, and it cannot be used to monitor disease for progression and response to treatment.<sup>[40,45]</sup> Anti-LKM1 in AIH was found in about 3% of adults and in up to 32% of pediatric age group.[41,46] Although anti-LKM1 has a specific target (cytochrome P450 2D6), it remains not pathognomonic for AIH and was reported in 10% of patients with HCV infection.[39] The prevalence of anti-LKM1 in KSA was reported to be 7.6%.<sup>[9]</sup> In the absence of conventional autoantibodies, several other autoantibodies can be useful in the diagnosis of AIH including anti-actin-F, anti-SLA/LP, and anti-asialoglycoprotein.[47-50]

# Histology

Histological assessment is an essential part in the diagnosis of AIH. Liver biopsy is strongly recommended to confirm the diagnosis, exclude other causes of hepatitis, and assess the extent of fibrosis. Although biopsy is a crucial step in the diagnosis of AIH, its unavailability or presence of contraindications should

not delay the initiation of treatment if urgently indicated.<sup>[1,4,51,52]</sup> The liver biopsy is better evaluated by an expert gastrointestinal and liver pathologist, and on some occasions liver biopsy needs to be evaluated by two pathologists. Liver biopsy can be done percutaneously or through trans-jugular access in patients with severe coagulopathy.<sup>[53]</sup> The classical histological features of AIH are interface hepatitis, plasma cell infiltration, rosette formation, and emperipolesis (active penetration of the cytoplasm of a hepatocyte by another cell, usually a lymphocyte and rarely a plasma cell which remains intact)<sup>[54]</sup> Emperipolesis was observed in 65% of patients with AIH and was associated with more severe necroinflammation and more advanced fibrosis.[55] Different stages of fibrosis can be found at the time of diagnosis, and bridging fibrosis and cirrhosis are frequent findings even in asymptomatic patients.<sup>[9,56]</sup> Bridging necrosis, centrilobular necrosis, massive or sub-massive hepatic necrosis, lymphoid follicles, a plasma cell-enriched inflammatory infiltrate, and central perivenulitis are more frequently seen in patients with acute severe presentation including acute liver failure.<sup>[57,58]</sup> These findings, however, are not specific for AIH and can be found in viral hepatitis, drugs and herbal extract-induced hepatitis, and other immune-mediated liver diseases; their absence does not exclude AIH.<sup>[54,59]</sup> If there is a lack of classical features, then an alternative diagnosis should be sought; but AIH should still be considered as a differential diagnosis. Neither serological markers nor the clinical symptoms predict the histological severity of AIH.<sup>[45]</sup> Some laboratory tests are suggested to correlate with inflammation, particularly ALT and IgG, but their normalization is not a reliable marker for histological remission.<sup>[37]</sup> Aljumah et al.<sup>[9]</sup> showed an association among serum IgG, ALT level, and platelet count, and the presence of advanced fibrosis on liver biopsy. Bile duct injury in AIH can be seen in up to 10% of cases. This may suggest the presence of co-existing PBC or PSC, particularly if there is ductopenia or severe cholangitis.<sup>[60]</sup> The presence of IgG4-related hepatitis was suggested by a limited number of small studies. However, the evidence is not sufficient to treat this group of patients as a separate entity.<sup>[61]</sup> A repeat liver biopsy is not always needed but should be considered if there is an increase in liver enzymes in spite of adequate immunosuppression and prior to discontinuation of treatment.<sup>[62]</sup> The presence of portal plasma cell infiltrates on liver biopsy prior to withdrawal of treatment was found to predict relapse with a sensitivity of 31% and positive predictive value of 92%.[63]

#### **Recommendations:**

- Initial assessment of patients suspected to have AIH should include full blood count, LFT, coagulation profile, serum immunoglobulins, and autoantibodies (Grade B)
- Autoantibodies have important diagnostic and prognostic value in patients suspected to have AIH, but they lack the sensitivity and specificity (Grade B)
- Autoantibodies are not sufficient to make the diagnosis of AIH, and they should be interpreted within the clinical presentation and correlated with other biochemical and histological findings (Grade B)
- Elevated serum IgG is important in the diagnosis of AIH and it correlates with the disease severity (Grade B)
- Liver biopsy is necessary to establish the diagnosis of AIH and exclude other causes of liver dysfunction, but it should not delay the treatment if urgently indicated (Grade B).

# **Genetic considerations**

One of the principles that explain the pathogenesis of AIH is that it is an autoimmune disorder triggered by an environmental factor in a genetically susceptible patient, which leads to the loss of tolerance to autoantigens.<sup>[64]</sup> However, this relationship between genetics and the autoimmune process is still poorly understood. Most of the research into genetics and AIH is directed toward human leukocyte antigens (HLA) within the major histocompatibility complex, which is located on chromosome 6.[65] Unfortunately, data on the genetic characteristics in the Saudi population is limited, and most studies are from other places in the world. In North American Caucasians, there is a strong association between AIH and HLA-DRB1\*0301(DR3) and HLA-DRB1\*0401(DR4) alleles.<sup>[66]</sup> Other associations have been found in South America, especially DRB1\*1301.<sup>[67]</sup> In a recent genome-wide association study on a large cohort of patients from Netherlands, an association between AIH type 1 and multiple variants in the major histocompatibility complex region were identified as likely risk factors.[68] In Asia, the main AIH association is with HLA-DR4 and genotypes DRB1\*0405 and DQB1\*0401.[69] This variation in the association between AIH and different alleles may explain the different clinical presentations in terms of the age of onset and disease behavior among different geographical areas. The variation in the HLA alleles does not lead to increased susceptibility to AIH. In fact, one allele, HLA-DRβ1\*1501, is associated with "protection" from AIH, especially in Caucasians.<sup>[70]</sup> One rare genetic

disease involves AIH with multiple endocrine organ failure, mucocutaneous candidiasis, and ectodermal dystrophy. This is collectively labeled as "autoimmune polyendocrine syndrome type 1." This syndrome is not associated with HLA variants but rather a mutation on chromosome 21 by mutations in the autoimmune regulator gene.<sup>[71]</sup> Despite the aforementioned association between HLA variability and AIH, the exact mechanisms by which these variations increase, or decrease, the susceptibility to AIH are still not well understood.

### Difficulties in the diagnosis

Difficulties in the diagnosis of AIH can be attributed to multiple factors, including the presence of atypical clinical or biochemical features. This can be explained by another active process in the liver (PSC, PBC, autoimmune cholangiopathy) or the existence of an alternative etiology that may explain the biochemical/histological findings (drug-induced hepatitis). Currently, there exist no acceptable, agreed-upon definitive diagnostic criteria used to define overlap syndromes. However, it has been suggested that those syndromes should be regarded as a distinct disorder and that diagnoses should be established based on each patient's most dominant clinical feature. Diagnostic criteria for AIH/PBC overlap have been previously proposed; however, these criteria have limitations and cannot be accurately applied to all patients.<sup>[25,72,73]</sup> There are ongoing diagnostic challenges for AIH overlapping with PSC and PBC, which will be discussed in the next section.

# AIH with primary biliary cholangitis:

The prevalence of overlap between the features of PBC and AIH is estimated to be 7–10% in patients with AIH.<sup>[27,74]</sup> Although there is no standardized approach to diagnose such overlap, the "Paris criteria" has been proposed to serve as a tool to diagnose AIH–PBC overlap. Details of the Paris criteria are listed in Table 4. These criteria carry good sensitivity and specificity (92% and 97%, respectively)

Table 4: Paris	AIH-PBC	overlap	criteria	modified	by EASL
----------------	---------	---------	----------	----------	---------

PBC	AIH
2 are needed ALP > 2 ULN "OR" GGT > 5 ULN Positive AMA Florid duct lesions on liver biopsy	Mandatory: Moderate to severe lymphocytic piecemeal necrosis (interface hepatitis) "Plus" One of ALT 5-fold ULN IgG 2-fold ULN "OR" positive ASMA

PBC: Primary biliary cholangitis; AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis; ALP: Alkaline phosphatase; EASL: European Association for the Study of the Liver; GGT: Gamma-glutamyl transferase; ULN: Upper limit of normal; AMA: Anti-mitochondrial antibodies; IgG: Immunoglobulin G; ASMA: Anti-smooth muscle antibodies

Saudi Journal of Gastroenterology | Volume XX | Issue XX | Month 2018

for the diagnosis of AIH-PBC overlap syndrome.<sup>[75]</sup> Serological overlap is a term that is used to describe the presence of an isolated serological marker of one disease without its histological features.<sup>[4]</sup> Such serological overlap has a prevalence of 5% in patients with AIH, although older studies reported more frequent detection of anti-mitochondrial antibody in AIH (20%).<sup>[76]</sup> Females are more commonly affected by this form of overlap; the male:female ratio among patients with AIH/PBC overlap is 2:8.<sup>[24]</sup> The clinical presentations of AIH/PBC do not significantly differ from those of isolated AIH; however, AIH/PBC patients frequently present with malaise and lethargy.<sup>[24]</sup> In this variant of overlap syndromes, the disease may evolve into isolated AIH or PBC or continue as overlap syndrome.<sup>[25]</sup> This form of serological overlap does not negatively influence the response of AIH to corticosteroids.<sup>[76]</sup>

# AIH with primary sclerosing cholangitis:

AIH/PSC overlap was first described in three patients by Gohlke et al.[77] It typically affects children, adolescents, and young adults with a male:female ratio among the patients with this overlap being 2:1.<sup>[24,77]</sup> The prevalence of overlap between AIH and PSC is increased in young patients and children, reaching 6–14% in some reports.<sup>[26,27,78]</sup> Children who are diagnosed with AIH have a particularly high prevalence of co-existing AIH with PSC. This has led to the introduction of the term "autoimmune sclerosing cholangitis."[79] Based on that diagnosis, it is recommended that all children should be evaluated by a cholangiogram to rule out the presence of an overlap between PSC and AIH.<sup>[1]</sup> Typically, in cases involving AIH/PSC overlap, AIH is first diagnosed, and PSC is diagnosed several years later.<sup>[80,81]</sup> Two patterns of PSC exist; the large duct PSC can reliably be with imaging like magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP). On the other hand, small duct PSC requires a histological diagnosis in the setting of a normal cholangiography. However, injury of the bile ducts can naturally occur in AIH, which makes the diagnosis of AIH-PSC difficult.[60] AIH/PSC patients commonly present with jaundice.<sup>[24]</sup> Compared with PSC patients, patients with AIH/PSC overlap syndrome can benefit from immunosuppression and ursodeoxycholic acid.<sup>[81]</sup> On the other hand, AIH/PSC overlap patients exhibited significantly reduced survival compared with patients with alone, but better survival than patients with PSC alone.[24,81,82]

### Drug-induced autoimmune liver injury:

Approximately 9% of patients diagnosed with AIH have drug-induced liver injury (DILI).<sup>[83]</sup> Many drugs can induce liver injuries that are indistinguishable from classic AIH.

Drugs can induce liver injury via different mechanisms, including drug-induced autoimmunity.<sup>[84]</sup> Multiple drugs have been documented to induce an immune reaction that either mimics AIH or triggers AIH. Among those medications, two are clearly associated with drug-induced autoimmune liver injury; minocycline and nitrofurantoin induce histological changes in the liver that fulfill the diagnostic criteria for AIH.<sup>[83,85,86]</sup> When compared with classical AIH, no major differences exist except for the advanced stage in classical AIH. Most patients with drug-induced AIH are women (80–90%); the median time to onset of clinical symptoms (jaundice) from drug exposure is 40 days (range 20-117), and features of hypersensitivity (fever, rash, and eosinophilia) are present in 15-20% of patients.<sup>[87]</sup> The serological markers for AIH are also positive in patients with minocycline and nitrofurantoin, with ASMA positive in 45-55% and ANA positive in 73-91%.[83] Thus, any patient being evaluated for the presence of AIH should be asked about medication history. Histological findings that are particularly suggestive of drug-induced injury are portal neutrophils and intracellular cholestasis, whereas portal plasma cells and hepatocyte rosettes favor classic AIH. In drug-induced AIH, fibrosis may be present, but cirrhosis is rare. Keys to diagnosis include the collateral sequence between drug exposure and the onset of disease and the lack of recurrence after discontinuation of the drug.<sup>[83,87]</sup> Patients with drug-induced immune liver injury will not likely require long-term immune suppression. On the other hand, patients with classical AIH will usually relapse; thus, differentiating between those two entities is important.<sup>[83]</sup> Some data suggest that patients with drug-induced AIH may have a late relapse.<sup>[88]</sup>

# Khat (Gat or Qat):

Khat or Qat, also known as Catha edulis, is a flowering plant native to the African Horn of the southern Arabian Peninsula (mainly Somalia and Yemen, and to a less extent, southern KSA). For people from those regions, Khat chewing is a common social habit that causes excitement, euphoria, and loss of appetite. Khat contains cathinone, which is a monoamine alkaloid and an amphetamine-like stimulant.<sup>[89]</sup> Khat is a hepatotoxic agent that has been reportedly linked to AIH in young males from Khat chewing regions. Multiple case series have been published that link Khat use to AIH. One series of seven patients with severe hepatitis and chronic Khat use showed that 3 out of 7 had positive serological markers for AIH (ASMA) and that 3 of them scored 9, 1 scored 10, and 1 scored 12 on the AIH probability scoring system.<sup>[90]</sup> Another case series of six patients who had active hepatitis while using Khat revealed that 83% fulfilled the probable diagnosis of AIH.<sup>[91]</sup> Moreover, severe cases of Khat-induced liver injury have been reported to cause death or require LT.<sup>[92,93]</sup> Data from KSA in a of three young patients who used Khat for a prolonged period showed clinical and serological features of AIH and one showed biopsy-proven AIH.<sup>[94]</sup> The prognosis of Khat-induced hepatotoxicity/AIH seems to be dependent on early diagnosis, early treatment, and most importantly abstinence from using Khat.

# AIH and viral infection:

Several hepatotropic and non-hepatotropic viruses are thought to trigger AIH.<sup>[17]</sup> These viruses include the hepatitis A, B, and C viruses and the Epstein–Barr virus.<sup>[2,17,95]</sup> However, chronic hepatitis virus infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV), HCV, and/or hepatitis D virus (HDV) has been found to be associated with immune response and with positive immune markers for AIH, leading to the misdiagnosis of AIH in certain patients.<sup>[2,95]</sup> Similar to our findings for cholestasis and AIH, we identified only one Saudi report of AIH triggered by a viral infection, namely, varicella-zoster infection.<sup>[96]</sup>

# TREATMENT

The aim of the therapy is to achieve clinical, biochemical, and histological remission and prevent further progression of the liver disease. In those with established active cirrhosis, treatment prevents clinical deterioration and may cause reversal of cirrhosis.

# Indications for treatment Absolute indications

Patients with AIH and moderate or severe inflammation (defined as one or more of serum AST >5 times normal, serum globulins >2 times normal, liver biopsy showing confluent necrosis) should be offered treatment.<sup>[3,97-99]</sup> Patients with biopsy-proven cirrhosis due to AIH should also be considered for treatment.

# Other indications

Patients who do not meet the above criteria, but have fatigue or arthralgia, should be treated to control the symptoms.<sup>[4,56]</sup> Younger patients with less severe disease may be offered treatment with the intention of preventing cirrhosis.<sup>[4]</sup> However, patients with the right context of possible AIH, particularly in the presence of suggestive biochemical and serological markers, should be offered treatment with steroids. Currently, there is accumulating evidence to suggest that AIH with even low transaminases and/or serum IgG that is not reaching the diagnostic criteria may insidiously progress to cirrhosis. Elderly patients with mild interface hepatitis and mild elevation of transaminases may be observed, as the outcome of untreated patients was

similar to those who were treated.[10,100]

# No indication to treat

There is no justification to treat patients with normal transaminases and IgG and minimal activity on biopsy. However, it should also be taken into consideration that untreated AIH has a fluctuating, unpredictable disease behavior, and a substantial proportion of asymptomatic patients may become symptomatic during the course of their disease and thus long-term follow-up is recommended.<sup>[56,100]</sup> Patients with burned-out cirrhosis (normal liver enzymes and absent histological activity on liver biopsy) are not considered for treatment but are rather considered for LT as they are unlikely to benefit from the treatment.<sup>[101]</sup>

# Induction therapy

The survival benefit of corticosteroid therapy with or without azathioprine has been long established by key early studies and was confirmed by more recent studies.<sup>[98,99,102]</sup> The use of prednisolone plus azathioprine provides the best option to control the disease and minimize the side effects. The dosing of prednisolone and the timing of azathioprine introduction varies between different studies and guidelines. In a recent double-blind randomized trial, budesonide (9 mg/day) plus azathioprine (1–2 mg/kg/day) was compared to the conventional prednisolone (40 mg/day) plus azathioprine (1–2 mg/kg/day) in non-cirrhotic AIH. The study showed that budesonide in combination with azathioprine resulted in induction and maintenance of remission in such patients with fewer side effects.<sup>[103]</sup>

# Medications and doses

Prednisolone: the induction dose varies between trials, ranging between 30 and 60 mg, or up to 0.5–1 mg/kg/day. For adult patients, prednisolone is given as a single daily dose orally. Alternatively, budesonide may be preferred in patients without cirrhosis.

Azathioprine: The dose and timing of introducing azathioprine vary in the literature. Till now, 50–100 mg or 1-2 mg/kg/day as a single dose are considered appropriate maintenance doses.<sup>[4,104]</sup> We advise to delay the introduction of azathioprine by a week or two to confirm the response to steroids and avoid the dilemma of azathioprine hepatic toxicity versus steroid resistance in patients having worsening of LFT after initiation of treatment and in patients presenting with hyperbilirubinemia.

# Induction of remission

We recommend a daily oral dose of 40–60 mg prednisolone for 2 weeks, followed by azathioprine at a starting dose of 50 mg that needs to be increased slowly to a maximum of 2 mg/kg daily. The dose of steroids should be adjusted according to the biochemical response. Tapering of steroid should be individualized, aiming to taper off steroids in 6–12 months.<sup>[105]</sup> Usually by 6 months, the patient should be administered a dose of prednisolone of 10 mg daily or less and azathioprine of 1–2 mg/kg daily.

### Maintenance therapy

After achieving clinical and biochemical responses, azathioprine should be titrated to 1-2 mg/kg/day along with withdrawing corticosteroid therapy. Azathioprine therapy is the main maintenance therapy that helps to prevent the side effects of steroids. Data on azathioprine therapy up to 67 months showed 83% remission rate.<sup>[102,106,107]</sup>

Withdrawal of azathioprine after achieving remission has been associated with a high relapse rate (50–90%).<sup>[10,108,109]</sup> It is recommended to continue a maintenance dose of azathioprine of 1–2 mg/kg daily for life. If the patients have a contraindication to azathioprine, then they can be kept on the smallest dose of steroid required to maintain remission. Alternatively, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) can be given as maintenance medication if azathioprine is contraindicated or the patient developes toxicity. Patient involvement in the selection of treatment options and the possible side effects expected from each option can improve compliance to treatment and help in effective disease management.

# Monitoring during induction and maintenance therapy

Clinical and biochemical monitoring early in the course of treatment is important to confirm response to corticosteroid therapy and recognize steroid-resistant cases. The initial follow-up needs to be done within 2-4 weeks of starting therapy depending on the severity of the case. It involves monitoring for encephalopathy, worsening jaundice, and/or presence of ascites. Laboratory parameters include ALT/AST, bilirubin, albumin, IgG, and international normalized ratio (INR). With prolonged duration of the therapy, monitoring can be extended to 3- to 6-month intervals to ensure normalization of laboratory indices. Flares and relapses are frequent even after complete remission; hence, lifelong monitoring is warranted. Recent reports have shown that non-invasive measurement using transient elastography (FibroScan) can be used to assess regression/progression of cirrhosis or fibrosis in patients with AIH.[110] Calcium and vitamin D are required during prolonged steroid therapy to minimize the side effects on the bones.

### Remission

Remission is defined as the resolution of clinical condition, normalization of laboratory indices (ALT/AST, IgG, bilirubin, albumin, and INR), and resolution of histological activity. Clinical and biochemical remission are the first clues of response to a treatment after 3-8 months.<sup>[98]</sup> Histological remission is the only measure of complete remission. It lags 3-8 months behind laboratory remission.<sup>[98]</sup> The average duration of treatment to achieve complete sustained remission is about 18-24 months.[111-113] Interface hepatitis is present in up to 55% of patients who achieve normal liver enzymes and normal IgG.[113] The majority of patients show a biochemical response to the usual treatment with corticosteroid therapy. Non-responsive patients to immunosuppressive therapy represent a very small proportion of patients and should lead to questioning the diagnosis and compliance to treatment. Saudi reports on AIH have shown variable complete response rate from 45% in Abdo et al.'s study to 74.5% in Aljumah et al.'s study.[8,9] Compared to international figures, this lower response rate may be explained by the presence of a large number of patients with advanced disease among the Saudi cohorts of AIH.<sup>[7-9]</sup>

Continuing treatment without response to initial treatment or at 6–12 months of follow-up in fully compliant patients was reported in 15–20% of AIH patients.<sup>[114,115]</sup> This should raise the possibility of treatment failure or alternative diagnosis, and an alternative treatment option can be used.<sup>[114-116]</sup>

# Discontinuation of therapy

Giving the patient who sustained remission, the chance to be off medications at some point is debatable, and the evidence is not yet conclusive.<sup>[109,111-113,117,118]</sup> However, we do not recommend discontinuation of maintenance therapy. Due to the high rate of relapse after discontinuation of therapy (up to 90%), it is recommended to keep the lowest possible dose of azathioprine for life. Inadequate therapy can achieve a sustained response in only 20% of patients.<sup>[1,109,119-121]</sup> In the cases of treatment withdrawal, the risk of relapse should be discussed with the patients, and the patient should share the decision of treatment withdrawal if deemed necessary. Termination of therapy should be gradual with close monitoring during withdrawal time. Liver biopsy is mandatory before discontinuation of therapy to prove histological remission before stopping the treatment.<sup>[4,114]</sup> Patients off medication should be monitored clinically and biochemically for recurrence of symptoms and elevated liver enzymes as delayed relapses can develop even after several years after discontinuing azathioprine.[108,114,118]

# **Recommendations:**

- Treatment of AIH is indicated in patients with evidence of active moderate to severe inflammation and patients with active symptoms (Grade B)
- Immune suppression with steroids or azathioprine is the mainstay for treatment of AIH (Grade A)
- A rational treatment strategy includes initial induction therapy with large dose of steroids followed by gradual reduction to smaller maintenance doses (Grade B)
- Maintenance of AIH remission is achieved in most patients with azathioprine 1-2 mg/ kg/day or azathioprine and small dose of prednisolone (Grade B)
- Continuation of maintenance therapy is important after initial biochemical remission since histological remission can take up to 8 months after biochemical remission (Grade B)
- Discontinuation of therapy after complete remission is discouraged as more than 90% of patients will have relapse (Grade B).

# Relapse after discontinuation of therapy and possible treatment options

According to the International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group, relapse of AIH is defined as the reappearance of ALT elevation >3 times the upper limit of normal, but may also present with milder ALT elevations and/or an increase in IgG levels.<sup>[121,122]</sup> An ALT elevation is highly predictive of relapse and is enough to diagnose it without histological evidence. Many predictors have been described as indicators of increasing chance of relapse including persistent elevation of transaminases or slow response to treatment, biopsy-proven inflammation, and inadequate duration of treatment.<sup>[105,113,123,124]</sup> Progression to cirrhosis, death from liver failure, and requirement for LT are common in relapsers compared to patients with sustained clinical, biochemical, and histological remission.<sup>[106]</sup> Relapse off medication depends on the patient's profile during therapy. Patients who showed normal biochemical profile on treatment have 3-11 times higher chance of sustained remission compared to patients who had abnormal biochemical profile on treatment. However, normalization of biochemical profile does not mean that the patient is immune to relapse.<sup>[113]</sup> About 50-90% of AIH patients may relapse after drug withdrawal, and typically occurs in the first 12 months after stopping therapy.<sup>[108,118,125]</sup> It has been shown that 13-20% of responders in KSA had relapse while on maintenance therapy.<sup>[7,8]</sup>

Corticosteroids and azathioprine are equally effective in achieving remission among relapsers. However, close

monitoring after treatment withdrawal may help in detecting early relapsers to initiate therapy promptly to avoid the requirement of high doses of immunosuppressants. Due to the concern of poor outcomes among AIH patients with multiple relapses, an indefinite treatment regimen is advisable.<sup>[109,126,127]</sup>

# Steroid-dependent patients

Patients who require a maintenance dose of corticosteroid to achieve normal transaminases require revision of histology, MRCP to rule out PSC, and possibly repeating liver biopsy to check for the possibility of an overlap syndrome. Administration of budesonide should be considered if the patient is not cirrhotic to minimize the side effects related to long-term corticosteroid use. Other medications such as ursodeoxycholic acid may also be tried.<sup>[1,4]</sup>

# Treatment failure

Treatment failure is defined as the clinical, laboratory or histological deterioration in AIH patients despite complete adherence to the optimal doses of immunosuppression. It is expected that 10% of patients will fail to show improvement on adequate doses of corticosteroids. Clinicians need to wait for a response to the treatment for up to 3–6 weeks to call it a failure, except in rapidly deteriorating cases.<sup>[4,120,128]</sup> Risk factors associated with treatment failure include patients of younger age, acute presentation, higher serum bilirubin, higher model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score, and HLA DRB1\*03 positive.<sup>[120,128,129]</sup> They may be expected to show clinical or laboratory deterioration within few days of starting the treatment in the absence of sepsis or hepatotoxicity. In reports from KSA, the number of treatment failure cases of AIH varied from 9.6% in Fallatah et al.'s report to 23% in Abdo et al.'s report.<sup>[7,8]</sup> However, the largest Saudi cohort by Aljumah et al.<sup>[9]</sup> showed a failure rate of 16.5%. Most (25-29%) of these patients had advanced cirrhosis.<sup>[7,9]</sup> Another group of treatment failure included patients who had severe acute hepatitis or fulminant liver failure, representing 7.6% and 2.8%, respectively, from the national data.<sup>[8,9]</sup> It is important to recognize this group of treatment failure patients to consider an alternative therapy including timely LT, which is needed in 60% of such patients.<sup>[120,128-131]</sup> Another group of treatment failure patients include those who do not have acute severe presentation but show poor or minimal response to conventional therapy after several weeks.<sup>[1]</sup> Possible treatment options for treatment failure include high corticosteroid dose of 60 mg daily, or 30 mg daily dose in addition to 150 mg azathioprine.[111,112,117,121] Corticosteroid and azathioprine can be reduced to conventional doses after the biochemical response.<sup>[4,111]</sup> The use of other medications like tacrolimus and cyclosporine is limited to anecdotal cases.<sup>[132,133]</sup>

# Incomplete response

Incomplete response occurred in 13% of patients who failed to achieve full clinical, biochemical, and histological remission with the conventional therapy for 3 years.<sup>[112,117,134]</sup> However, it is important to evaluate non-compliance before labeling a patient as an incomplete responder, and it is not essential to wait for 3 years to make such a diagnosis. Once the diagnosis has been established, alternative therapeutic strategies must be considered. Treatment with long-term corticosteroids is not advisable due to the potential side effects. However, a short course of prednisone with gradual tapering by 2.5 mg/month to reach a dose between 5 and 10 mg/day might be acceptable to achieve a complete normalization of ALT and AST.<sup>[135]</sup> An alternative treatment option would be to increase the dose of azathioprine to 2 mg/kg/day to avoid the usage of corticosteroids.[107] However, in some patients, it might be necessary to use the therapeutic dose of azathioprine (2 mg/kg/day) with a small dose of prednisolone such as 5 mg/day to achieve the desired response. Likewise, immunosuppressive therapies such as MMF and calcineurin inhibitors have been used in small case series with variable success among patients of AIH with incomplete response. These potent therapies have their own side effects and should be considered a second line of therapy among incomplete responders.<sup>[112,117,136]</sup> Partial or incomplete response was encountered in 9-34.4% of AIH patients in Saudi reports, and most of these patients had advanced disease.[7-9] The majority of these patients responded after adjustment of the doses of immunosuppression, and only 2-5% were switched to MMF or tacrolimus.<sup>[7,9]</sup>

# **Recommendations:**

- Ensuring full compliance with the standard therapy is mandatory before defining treatment failure or incomplete response (Grade B)
- High dose prednisolone together with azathioprine is a possible treatment option in the case of incomplete response or failure of standard therapy (Grade B)
- MMF, as a second line therapy, can replace azathioprine in cases of non-response or treatment failure (Grade B)
- Calcineurin inhibitors (tacrolimus and cyclosporine) are other alternative second-line therapies for non-responders or incomplete responders (Grade C).

# Side effects of medications

Medications have several side effects. Steroid-related side effects include moon face, hump, hirsutism, skin striae, and acne. More serious side effects include osteoporosis, vertebral compression, diabetes, hypertension, psychosis, pancreatitis, infection, malignancy, and avascular necrosis.<sup>[137,138]</sup> After 2 years of treatment, 80% of AIH patients are expected to have side effects related to corticosteroid administration.<sup>[1,4]</sup> Azathioprine-related side effects include cholestasis, pancreatitis, infection, malignancy, bone marrow suppression, and gastrointestinal complications; these side effects are observed in 10% of AIH patients.<sup>[1,114,137]</sup> Alteration or premature discontinuation of conventional therapy (azathioprine) occurred in 13% of patients with AIH due to those side effects.<sup>[112,139]</sup> However, a lower dosage of azathioprine can be used to maintain biochemical response in the absence of drug-related side effects. In one report of AIH from KSA, close to 55% of AIH patients had treatment side effects that varied from mild to severe, necessitating the discontinuation of AZA.<sup>[7,9]</sup>

# Treatment of special populations

Management of AIH in patients with liver cirrhosis: Finding liver cirrhosis, with or without decompensation, at the time of diagnosis of AIH is common and has been reported in 20-40% of cases.<sup>[100,123,140]</sup> The probability was higher in patients presenting with jaundice, reaching up to 50%.<sup>[35]</sup> In the largest cohort from KSA, 28.8% out of 212 patients with AIH had cirrhosis at the time of diagnosis.<sup>[9]</sup> Cirrhosis is a poor prognostic factor in the long-term outcome of AIH. Although some reports showed comparable biochemical response rate between patients with and without cirrhosis, most of the studies have shown a shorter survival, higher need for LT, and more cirrhosis-related complications.[140,141] Treatment of cirrhotic AIH is not different from that of AIH without cirrhosis. AIH patients with liver cirrhosis should be considered for vaccination for HAV and HBV before immune suppression treatment, but that should not delay the initiation of therapy.<sup>[142,143]</sup> Prednisolone with steroid sparing agents (azathioprine and MMF) has been used successfully for treating AIH with cirrhosis with a response rate reaching up to 70%.[9,100,140,144] Budesonide is not recommended in patients with cirrhosis due to the expected high serum level secondary to reduced hepatic metabolism.<sup>[103,145]</sup> These patients need closer monitoring for side effects, particularly cytopenia secondary to azathioprine and MMF.<sup>[146]</sup> Like all compensated cirrhotic patients, AIH patients with compensated cirrhosis should be screened for the presence of esophageal varices and HCC.<sup>[147]</sup> Patients with decompensation should be evaluated for LT.<sup>[148]</sup> There are limited reports about the safety and

12

efficacy of immunosuppressive treatment in the presence of decompensation. Therefore, treatment should be initiated by experts in the field and in centers were LT facility is available.<sup>[149]</sup> The lack of early treatment response defined as >50% decline from pre-treatment transaminases was found to predict the need for LT.<sup>[131]</sup>

# **Recommendations:**

- Cirrhosis is common in patients with AIH and should be considered when investigating patients suspected of having AIH (Grade B)
- Cirrhotic AIH can be treated with immunosuppressive agents (Grade B)
- Cirrhotic AIH on immunosuppressive treatment should be monitored for side effects of treatment, particularly cytopenia (Grade C)
- Budesonide should be avoided in patients with cirrhosis (Grade C)
- Patients with decompensation should be evaluated for LT. However, treatment can be initiated under close monitoring in centers where transplant facility is available (Grade C).

# Treatment in co-morbid patients and old age

Systemic corticosteroids are a cornerstone in the management of AIH. Those medications are well known to have numerous side effects including and not limited to poor control of diabetes, osteoporosis, aseptic necrosis of the hip joint, and hypertension.<sup>[150]</sup> All these complications are common in the older age group. One study evaluated the phenotype of AIH in patients who are above the age of 60 years and found that they are more prone to have cirrhosis at presentation and more frequently associated with HLA\*DR4 when compared to younger patients.[151] On the other hand, patients who are older than 60 years had a better response to corticosteroids.<sup>[151]</sup> Local data, however, did not suggest that age is a significant contributing factor in predicting cirrhosis, although patients in this cohort were relatively young.<sup>[8]</sup> The presence of multiple co-morbid conditions in elderly patients who have mild disease activity on liver biopsy with no evidence of cirrhosis present a clinical challenge to the healthcare providers. In the European guidelines for AIH, the decision not to treat older patients with co-morbidities and mild disease activity was left for the physician to decide on an individualized basis.<sup>[1]</sup> The guidelines also gave the recommendation to consider budesonide rather than prednisolone when feasible.<sup>[1]</sup> The prevalence of osteoporosis in KSA from the largest available study is 1.2-4.7%.<sup>[152]</sup> The use of corticosteroids impacts the bone density through multiple mechanisms, including the suppression of osteoblasts, increased bone resorption, and decreased new bone production within the natural remodeling cycle.<sup>[153]</sup> The recently published guidelines from the Saudi Osteoporosis Society addressed the use of steroid and its impact on bone health.<sup>[154]</sup> All patients who are planned for long-term corticosteroids must have measurement of bone density and receive prophylaxis for bone health using vitamin D and calcium. The patients who are diagnosed with steroid-induced osteoporosis should be treated with bisphosphonates.<sup>[154]</sup>

# Treatment of AIH in pregnancy

Conception in patients with AIH is a challenge to the patients and healthcare providers. Without decompensated cirrhosis, pregnancy is usually achievable and successful, albeit with a modest increase in poor outcomes.[155] In one large series, 81 pregnancies in 53 women with AIH, the live birth rate was 73%. Prematurity occurred in 20% of the cases, and in 11% cases, an admission to the special care baby unit was necessary.<sup>[156]</sup> About 41% of these pregnancies occurred in cirrhotic patients. Adverse event rate in the mothers was 38%. Serious adverse events (defined as death or the need for LT) occurred in 11% of the patients, mostly in patients with cirrhosis. These outcomes are clearly inferior to pregnancies in the general population.<sup>[156]</sup> Another retrospective analysis on 7 patients with AIH with 9 pregnancies reported a miscarriage rate of 33%, but no maternal or neonatal mortality was reported.<sup>[157]</sup> The course of AIH during pregnancy is unpredictable. Like other autoimmune diseases, pregnancy may ameliorate the course of the disease as the immune system in the mother undergoes changes to adopt a tolerance to the circulating fetal antigens with improvement in liver profile, especially in the second trimester.<sup>[158,159]</sup> However, this is not always the case as some autoimmune diseases induce worsening of the disease course and both fetal and maternal outcomes.<sup>[158]</sup> Flare-up of the AIH in pregnancy was reported to be 22-33%.<sup>[156,157]</sup> Flares of AIH can also occur after delivery, with the return of the immune system to the baseline and the "loss of tolerance" that occurs after delivery. In one cohort of AIH, the reported rate of flare-ups was 30% in the post-partum period.[160] This was even higher in another cohort from Germany (52%).[161] Local data on pregnancy in AIH are scarce. In one report of single-center experience of AIH, pregnancy was encountered in 3 patients. Two of them had flares during gestation, and one was diagnosed with AIH during pregnancy; all of them had good response to corticosteroid therapy.<sup>[7]</sup> They also reported one mortality during pregnancy, which was attributed to pregnancy-related complications.<sup>[7]</sup> Although azathioprine was labeled as Category D in pregnancy and the United States food and drug administration has recently changed the labeling system of medications, this category

system is still widely used.<sup>[162]</sup> The safety of azathioprine has been well established in different cohorts of patients. A recent meta-analysis of the birth outcome after exposure to azathioprine in inflammatory bowel disease patients revealed no effect on the fetal outcomes in terms of birth weight or malformations, although it was associated with increased preterm births.<sup>[163]</sup> Moreover, in AIH, one study on 42 pregnancies included 14 pregnancies with exposure to azathioprine, but the outcomes of the patients who were exposed to azathioprine were not different to those who were not exposed.<sup>[161]</sup> On the other hand, the discontinuation of azathioprine during pregnancy may lead to rapid flare-up of the disease in up to 85% of the patients.<sup>[159]</sup>

# Treatment of acute severe and fulminant AIH

Acute severe/fulminant presentation of AIH, defined by marked elevation in transaminases, significant derangement of synthetic liver function, and presence of encephalopathy within 26 weeks of onset, is reported in 3-10% of AIH patients.<sup>[164,165]</sup> Lower rate (2.8%) has been reported in a large cohort of adult AIH patients in KSA.<sup>[9]</sup> Fulminant AIH predicts poor prognosis with a transplant-free survival of 20% or less.<sup>[166,129]</sup> Five out of six patients (83%) in Aljumah's series died, and the sixth patient required LT.<sup>[9]</sup> The presentation can be precipitated by several factors including medication (interferon, minocycline, and nitrofurantoin), infections, or pre-existing genetic condition.<sup>[167-170]</sup> Diagnosis of fulminant AIH is challenging since the scoring systems, particularly the simplified system, are less sensitive and many patients lack the classical features of AIH.<sup>[171]</sup> Since acute-type AIH (including fulminant) frequently lacks typical features of AIH, such as positivity of autoantibodies and/or elevation of serum IgG, AIH should always be suspected in acute hepatitis cases without identifiable etiologies. Histological assessment usually shows less fibrosis, greater interface hepatitis, hepatocyte necrosis, zone III necrosis, and sub-massive necrosis.<sup>[172]</sup> It is difficult to draw a solid conclusion and provide a clear recommendation on the use of immunosuppressive medication in patients with fulminant AIH due to the paucity of data, which mostly came from case reports and small case series. Although some series reported a favorable outcome with steroid therapy, others showed no benefit compared to control with a higher incidence of infection in the steroids-treated group.<sup>[130,166,173]</sup> However, experts support a trial of steroid for 2 weeks in patients with acute liver failure, where AIH is suspected with careful monitoring for clinical deterioration and infection.[174] This decision should not delay LT evaluation. LT work-up should be initiated early in the management of fulminant AIH, particularly in those with treatment failure predicted by rising MELD-Na at day 7 and patients with rapid clinical deterioration.<sup>[128]</sup> Ten-year survival after LT for AIH exceeds 70%, and one-third of patients may experience a recurrence of the disease.<sup>[175]</sup>

# **Recommendations:**

- An expert physician in an advanced tertiary center should be involved in the management of AIH patients who had initial treatment failure or partial response, patients who relapsed after initial response, patients who are difficult to be weaned off steroids, and in special conditions like elderly or pregnant patients and post LT AIH (Grade B)
- Treatment of AIH should be continued during pregnancy and medication can be adjusted in case of development of flare during pregnancy (Grade C)
- AIH should be considered in the differential diagnosis of acute liver failure when other causes are excluded. The biochemical, serological, and histological results should be interpreted carefully (Grade C)
- Urgent transplant evaluation should be initiated in patients with fulminant AIH (Grade C)
- A trial of steroids for 2 weeks can be attempted in patients with suspected AIH with close monitoring for clinical worsening and sepsis (Grade C).

# Treatment of AIH and overlap syndromes

The treatment of overlap syndromes should include the treatment of both overlapping conditions.<sup>[24]</sup> The treatment of AIH–PBC overlap includes both the conventional treatments for AIH, in addition to ursodeoxycholic acid.<sup>[24]</sup> The treatment doses have to be adjusted according to the predominant clinical and laboratory features in addition to the monitored treatment response.<sup>[25,26]</sup> For AIH–PSC overlap, similar treatment regimens are commonly used, but the initial treatment response was shown to be better compared to AIH–PBC overlap. However, AIH–PSC patients were more likely to have LT or die from liver disease.<sup>[24]</sup>

In our experience, only a few patients with AIH–PBC or AIH–PSC overlap have incomplete treatment response, and they have more advanced disease compared to patients with isolated AIH.

# Treatment of drugs and toxin-induced autoimmune liver injury

The management of drug-induced autoimmune-like hepatitis includes steroids in addition to withdrawal of the causative agent.<sup>[83,87]</sup> Resolution is characteristic, and recurrence (which favors AIH) or a lack thereof (which favors DILI) after steroid withdrawal can support the final diagnosis. Bjornsson *et al.* have shown that in a minority of patients with AIH triggered by DILI (7%), AIH progressed to cirrhosis.<sup>[83]</sup> In cases involving uncertainty, the recommended approach is a trial of steroid therapy (prednisone 0.5–1.0 mg/kg/day) with close observation during steroid tapering and stopping over several months, followed by long-term follow-up (for up to 3 years) to monitor for late relapse of AIH.

# AIH and liver transplantation Indications and outcomes

Globally, AIH is considered an infrequent indication for LT and accounts for only 2-6% of LT procedures.[1,176,177] In KSA it is considered the fifth leading indication for LT based on the primary liver disease in adults and accounting for about 6% of all LTs.<sup>[178]</sup> The outcomes of LT for AIH are excellent with 1- and 5-year survival rates of 90% and 80%, respectively.<sup>[179]</sup> Khalaf et al. evaluated the outcomes of LT for 16 patients transplanted for AIH. After a median follow-up period of 530 days (range 11-2016), the overall patient and graft survival rates were 93.8%. Only one patient died following living donor LT due to primary graft non-function. Histopathological recurrence was seen in three patients (18.7%) and was successfully treated by optimizing immunosuppression.<sup>[16]</sup> Disease recurrence is common and ranges from 15% to 42%. This wide range of reported recurrence can be related to different diagnostic criteria for reporting recurrence, the effect of immunosuppressive medications, and the possible interaction with other LT-related complications, particularly rejection. Recurrence has been shown to negatively impact LT outcomes affecting both graft and patient survival.<sup>[180]</sup> Risk factors for disease recurrence post LT include discontinuation of corticosteroids. A recent study from the UK reported that long-term low-dose steroids were safe and associated with a lower rate of post-LT disease recurrence.<sup>[181]</sup> The presence of pre-LT biochemical activity (elevated aminotransferases and serum IgG) or histological activity (lymphoplasmacytic infiltration associated with inflammation) was also linked to disease recurrence post LT. HLA phenotype, younger patients, and duration of follow-up were other factors associated with disease recurrence.[182]

### Treatment of recurrent AIH in allografts

Treatment of AIH recurrence depends on the overall clinical picture and severity of recurrence. Asymptomatic patients with mild elevation in liver biochemistry may only require immunosuppressive dose adjustment. Resuming treatment with corticosteroids and azathioprine in conventional or increasing dosage is usually effective in controlling the disease.<sup>[183]</sup> In cases of inadequate response of recurrent AIH, post-LT tacrolimus should be replaced with cyclosporine or the calcineurin inhibitors should be replaced with sirolimus. Patients with cirrhosis and graft loss will require re-transplantation.<sup>[184]</sup>

# De novo AIH after liver transplantation

The term de novo is used to describe the development of AIH in LT recipients whose indication for LT was non-immune-related liver disease. It is diagnosed by typical histological changes and hypergammaglobulinemia. It has been reported in 2.1–6.6% of LT patients.<sup>[183]</sup> Its treatment includes adding or increasing the dose of corticosteroids, adding azathioprine or MMF, and adjusting the dose of calcineurin inhibitor. Other agents that have been successfully used in treating de novo AIH include rapamycin and everolimus.<sup>[180]</sup> Some reports have shown a reduced risk of de novo AIH with the use of cyclosporine compared to tacrolimus or MMF. Other potential risk factors include recipients of female or older donor grafts.<sup>[185]</sup>

#### **Recommendations:**

- LT is considered in patients with AIH who presented with acute liver failure or decompensated cirrhosis with or without HCC provided they meet the liver transplant criteria (Grade C)
- If treatment response continues to be inadequate in recurrent disease, tacrolimus should be replaced with cyclosporine or the calcineurin inhibitors should be replaced with sirolimus (Grade C)
- Re-transplantation must be considered for patients with refractory recurrent AIH that is progressing to allograft loss (Grade C)
- Consider de novo AIH in all patients with allograft dysfunction after LT regardless of whether the original indication for LT was AIH or another disease (Grade C)
- Treatment for de novo AIH should be instituted with dose adjustments of immunosuppressive drugs (Grade C)
- Re-transplantation should be considered for patients with refractory de novo AIH that is progressing to allograft failure (Grade C).

### CONCLUSION

Regional studies on AIH are limited, and the precise epidemiology of AIH in KSA is not well known. The diagnosis is typically made based on clinical and laboratory criteria. Liver histology is very important in the diagnosis not only for its value in grading and staging of the disease but also because it helps in the exclusion of other causes of hepatitis. The treatment with corticosteroids alone or in combination with azathioprine remains the mainstay to control the disease. Other immunosuppressive medications may provide a substitute for patients with incomplete response. Unfortunately, many patients in KSA still experience substantial morbidity and mortality due to the lack of experienced physicians, unclear diagnosis, delayed or missed diagnosis, side effects or intolerance to medications, and inadequate treatment response; these may result in suboptimal management and inadequate compliance. However, the diagnosis and treatment of AIH can be improved, and the majority of affected patients can be treated successfully with a high potential for improvement and better quality of life and eventual elimination of AIH as an indication for LT in the future. In these new SASLT guidelines, we endeavored to provide a concise, simplified, and evidence-based review of the diagnosis and management of AIH in KSA. The goals of these clinical practice guidelines are to improve identification, diagnosis, and management of AIH in the country. This may help to initiate plans to diagnose AIH early and accurately enough in all of the Saudi community. We anticipate it will expedite appropriate and timely referrals among primary, secondary, and tertiary healthcare providers and identify the gaps in knowledge and understanding of the status of AIH in KSA. We acknowledge that there are areas that need to be addressed in future research on AIH in KSA. These include genetic risk factors and association, the prevalence of AIH in the general population and among patients with chronic liver disease, the potential toxins and drug-inducing AIH that may be underestimated and under-reported, and the national clinical trials on the treatment of refractory and acute onset AIH and AIH post LT. Finally, medicine is an evolving science; hence, these guidelines need to be updated frequently.

# Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

# **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Autoimmune hepatitis. J Hepatol 2015;63:971-1004.
- Fallatah HI, Akbar HO. Autoimmune liver disease Are there spectra that we do not know? Comp Hepatol 2011;10:9.
- Gatselis NK, Zachou K, Koukoulis GK, Dalekos GN. Autoimmune hepatitis, one disease with many faces: Etiopathogenetic, clinico-laboratory and histological characteristics. World J Gastroenterol 2015;21:60-83.

- Manns MP, Czaja AJ, Gorham JD, Krawitt EL, Mieli-Vergani G, Vergani D, *et al.* Diagnosis and management of autoimmune hepatitis. Hepatology 2010;51:2193-213.
- Tanaka A, Ma X, Yokosuka O, Weltman M, You H, Amarapurkar DN, et al. Autoimmune liver diseases in the Asia-Pacific region: Proceedings of APASL symposium on AIH and PBC 2016. Hepatol Int 2016;10:909-15.
- Krawitt EL. Clinical features and management of autoimmune hepatitis. World J Gastroenterol 2008;14:3301-5.
- Fallatah HI, Akbar HO, Qari YA. Autoimmune hepatitis: Single-center experience of clinical presentation, response to treatment and prognosis in Saudi Arabia. Saudi J Gastroenterol 2010;16:95-9.
- Abdo AA. Clinical presentation, response to therapy, and predictors of fibrosis in patients with autoimmune hepatitis in Saudi Arabia. Saudi J Gastroenterol 2006;12:73-6.
- Aljumah AA, AlKhormi A, Al-Ashgar H, Alsaad K, Bzeizi K, Masri NA, *et al.* Clinical presentation, treatment outcome and predictors of severity in autoimmune hepatitis: A retrospective, multicenter experience. J Gastroenterol Hepatol Res 2016;5:2147-51.
- Al-Chalabi T, Boccato S, Portmann BC, McFarlane IG, Heneghan MA. Autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) in the elderly: A systematic retrospective analysis of a large group of consecutive patients with definite AIH followed at a tertiary referral centre. J Hepatol 2006;45:575-83.
- Choudhuri G, Somani SK, Baba CS, Alexander G. Autoimmune hepatitis in India: Profile of an uncommon disease. BMC Gastroenterol 2005;5:27.
- Muratori P, Lalanne C, Fabbri A, Cassani F, Lenzi M, Muratori L, et al. Type 1 and type 2 autoimmune hepatitis in adults share the same clinical phenotype. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2015;41:1281-7.
- Yang F, Wang Q, Bian Z, Ren LL, Jia J, Ma X, *et al.* Autoimmune hepatitis: East meets West. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;30:1230-6.
- 14. Liberal R, Vergani D, Mieli-Vergani G. Update on autoimmune hepatitis. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2015;3:42-52.
- Czaja AJ. Diagnosis and therapy of autoimmune liver disease. Med Clin North Am 1996;80:973-94.
- Khalaf H, Mourad W, El-Sheikh Y, Abdo A, Helmy A, Medhat Y, et al. Liver transplantation for autoimmune hepatitis: A single-center experience. Transplant Proc 2007;39:1166-70.
- Fallatah HI, Akbar HO. Autoimmune hepatitis as a unique form of an autoimmune liver disease: Immunological aspects and clinical overview. Autoimmune Dis 2012;2012:312817.
- Wang SB, Wang JH, Zheng RH, Zhong BH, Chen MH. Deep cholestatic jaundice as the predominant manifestation in autoimmune hepatitis. Hepatogastroenterology 2010;57:326-9.
- Tang CP, Shiau YT, Huang YH, Tsay SH, Huo TI, Wu JC, *et al.* Cholestatic jaundice as the predominant presentation in a patient with autoimmune hepatitis. J Chin Med Assoc 2008;71:45-8.
- Wong RJ, Gish R, Frederick T, Bzowej N, Frenette C. Development of hepatocellular carcinoma in autoimmune hepatitis patients: A case series. Dig Dis Sci 2011;56:578-85.
- Aljumah AA, Kuriry H, AlZunaitan M, Al Ghobain M, Al Muaikeel M, Al Olayan A, *et al.* Clinical presentation, risk factors, and treatment modalities of hepatocellular carcinoma: A Single tertiary care center experience. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2016;2016:1989045.
- Akbar HO. Cryptogenic or autoimmune hepatitis. Saudi J Gastroenterol 2002;8:99-101.
- Bokhari SA, Khan PM, Akl AF, AlTayib AA. A case of severe autoimmune hepatitis associated with Graves' disease. J Health Spec 2016;4:64-7.
- Al-Chalabi T, Portmann BC, Bernal W, McFarlane IG, Heneghan MA. Autoimmune hepatitis overlap syndromes: An evaluation of treatment response, long-term outcome and survival. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008;28:209-20.
- Czaja AJ. The variant forms of autoimmune hepatitis. Ann Intern Med 1996;125:588-98.
- 26. Boberg KM, Chapman RW, Hirschfield GM, Lohse AW, Manns MP,

Schrumpf E, *et al.* Overlap syndromes: The international autoimmune hepatitis group (IAIHG) position statement on a controversial issue. J Hepatol 2011;54:374-85.

- 27. Czaja AJ. Frequency and nature of the variant syndromes of autoimmune liver disease. Hepatology 1998;28:360-5.
- Johnson PJ, McFarlane IG. Meeting report: International autoimmune hepatitis group. Hepatology 1993;18:998-1005.
- Alvarez F, Berg PA, Bianchi FB, Bianchi L, Burroughs AK, Cancado EL, *et al.* International autoimmune hepatitis group report: Review of criteria for diagnosis of autoimmune hepatitis. J Hepatol 1999;31:929-38.
- Hennes EM, Zeniya M, Czaja AJ, Pares A, Dalekos GN, Krawitt EL, et al. Simplified criteria for the diagnosis of autoimmune hepatitis. Hepatology 2008;48:169-76.
- Yeoman AD, Westbrook RH, Al-Chalabi T, Carey I, Heaton ND, Portmann BC, *et al.* Diagnostic value and utility of the simplified international autoimmune hepatitis group (IAIHG) criteria in acute and chronic liver disease. Hepatology 2009;50:538-45.
- 32. Miyake Y, Iwasaki Y, Kobashi H, Yasunaka T, Ikeda F, Takaki A, *et al.* Clinical features of autoimmune hepatitis diagnosed based on simplified criteria of the international autoimmune hepatitis group. Dig Liver Dis 2010;42:210-5.
- Czaja AJ. Performance parameters of the diagnostic scoring systems for autoimmune hepatitis. Hepatology 2008;48:1540-8.
- Czaja AJ. Comparability of probable and definite autoimmune hepatitis by international diagnostic scoring criteria. Gastroenterology 2011;140:1472-80.
- 35. Panayi V, Froud OJ, Vine L, Laurent P, Woolson KL, Hunter JG, *et al.* The natural history of autoimmune hepatitis presenting with jaundice. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;26:640-5.
- Floreani A, Niro G, Rosa Rizzotto E, Antoniazzi S, Ferrara F, Carderi I, et al. Type I autoimmune hepatitis: Clinical course and outcome in an Italian multicentre study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006;24:1051-7.
- Lüth S, Herkel J, Kanzler S, Frenzel C, Galle PR, Dienes HP, *et al.* Serologic markers compared with liver biopsy for monitoring disease activity in autoimmune hepatitis. J Clin Gastroenterol 2008;42:926-30.
- Miyake Y, Iwasaki Y, Terada R, Takagi S, Okamaoto R, Ikeda H, *et al.* Persistent normalization of serum alanine aminotransferase levels improves the prognosis of type 1 autoimmune hepatitis. J Hepatol 2005;43:951-7.
- Czaja AJ. Diagnosis and management of autoimmune hepatitis: Current status and future directions. Gut Liver 2016;10:177-203.
- 40. Czaja AJ. Behavior and significance of autoantibodies in type 1 autoimmune hepatitis. J Hepatol 1999;30:394-401.
- Homberg JC, Abuaf N, Bernard O, Islam S, Alvarez F, Khalil SH, *et al.* Chronic active hepatitis associated with antiliver/kidney microsome antibody type 1: A second type of "autoimmune" hepatitis. Hepatology 1987;7:1333-9.
- Czaja AJ. Performance parameters of the conventional serological markers for autoimmune hepatitis. Dig Dis Sci 2011;56:545-54.
- Loria P, Lonardo A, Leonardi F, Fontana C, Carulli L, Verrone AM, *et al.* Non-organ-specific autoantibodies in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Prevalence and correlates. Dig Dis Sci 2003;48:2173-81.
- Cassani F, Cataleta M, Valentini P, Muratori P, Giostra F, Francesconi R, et al. Serum autoantibodies in chronic hepatitis C: Comparison with autoimmune hepatitis and impact on the disease profile. Hepatology 1997;26:561-6.
- Mehendiratta V, Mitroo P, Bombonati A, Navarro VJ, Rossi S, Rubin R, *et al.* Serologic markers do not predict histologic severity or response to treatment in patients with autoimmune hepatitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009;7:98-103.
- Gregorio GV, Portmann B, Reid F, Donaldson PT, Doherty DG, McCartney M, *et al.* Autoimmune hepatitis in childhood: A 20-year experience. Hepatology 1997;25:541-7.
- 47. Czaja AJ, Cassani F, Cataleta M, Valentini P, Bianchi FB. Frequency and significance of antibodies to actin in type 1 autoimmune hepatitis.

Hepatology 1996;24:1068-73.

- Zhang WC, Zhao FR, Chen J, Chen WX. Meta-analysis: Diagnostic accuracy of antinuclear antibodies, smooth muscle antibodies and antibodies to a soluble liver antigen/liver pancreas in autoimmune hepatitis. PLoS One 2014;9:e92267.
- Chen ZX, Shao JG, Shen Y, Zhang J, Hua Y, Wang LJ, et al. Prognostic implications of antibodies to soluble liver antigen in autoimmune hepatitis: A PRISMA-compliant meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e953.
- Czaja AJ, Pfeifer KD, Decker RH, Vallari AS. Frequency and significance of antibodies to asialoglycoprotein receptor in type 1 autoimmune hepatitis. Dig Dis Sci 1996;41:1733-40.
- 51. Krawitt EL. Autoimmune hepatitis. N Engl J Med 2006;354:54-66.
- 52. Czaja AJ. Autoimmune hepatitis. Part B: Diagnosis. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;1:129-43.
- Ramachandran J, Sajith KG, Pal S, Rasak JV, Prakash JA, Ramakrishna B, et al. Clinicopathological profile and management of severe autoimmune hepatitis. Trop Gastroenterol 2014;35:25-31.
- 54. de Boer YS, van Nieuwkerk CM, Witte BI, Mulder CJ, Bouma G, Bloemena E, *et al.* Assessment of the histopathological key features in autoimmune hepatitis. Histopathology 2015;66:351-62.
- Miao Q, Bian Z, Tang R, Zhang H, Wang Q, Huang S, *et al.* Emperipolesis mediated by CD8 T cells is a characteristic histopathologic feature of autoimmune hepatitis. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2015;48:226-35.
- Kogan J, Safadi R, Ashur Y, Shouval D, Ilan Y. Prognosis of symptomatic versus asymptomatic autoimmune hepatitis: A study of 68 patients. J Clin Gastroenterol 2002;35:75-81.
- 57. Stravitz RT, Lefkowitch JH, Fontana RJ, Gershwin ME, Leung PS, Sterling RK, *et al.* Autoimmune acute liver failure: Proposed clinical and histological criteria. Hepatology 2011;53:517-26.
- Aizawa Y, Abe H, Sugita T, Seki N, Chuganji Y, Furumoto Y, *et al.* Centrilobular zonal necrosis as a hallmark of a distinctive subtype of autoimmune hepatitis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;28:391-7.
- Czaja AJ, Carpenter HA. Sensitivity, specificity, and predictability of biopsy interpretations in chronic hepatitis. Gastroenterology 1993;105:1824-32.
- Carpenter HA, Czaja AJ. The role of histologic evaluation in the diagnosis and management of autoimmune hepatitis and its variants. Clin Liver Dis 2002;6:685-705.
- Umemura T, Zen Y, Hamano H, Joshita S, Ichijo T, Yoshizawa K, *et al.* Clinical significance of immunoglobulin G4-associated autoimmune hepatitis. J Gastroenterol 2011;46 Suppl 1:48-55.
- Putra J, Toor A, Suriawinata AA. The utility of repeat liver biopsy in autoimmune hepatitis: A series of 20 consecutive cases. Pathology 2016;48:449-53.
- Czaja AJ, Carpenter HA. Histological features associated with relapse after corticosteroid withdrawal in type 1 autoimmune hepatitis. Liver Int 2003;23:116-23.
- Than NN, Jeffery HC, Oo YH. Autoimmune hepatitis: Progress from global immunosuppression to personalised regulatory T cell therapy. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;2016:7181685.
- Choo SY. The HLA system: Genetics, immunology, clinical testing, and clinical implications. Yonsei Med J 2007;48:11-23.
- Oo YH, Hubscher SG, Adams DH. Autoimmune hepatitis: New paradigms in the pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. Hepatol Int 2010;4:475-93.
- Oliveira LC, Porta G, Marin ML, Bittencourt PL, Kalil J, Goldberg AC, et al. Autoimmune hepatitis, HLA and extended haplotypes. Autoimmun Rev 2011;10:189-93.
- de Boer YS, van Gerven NM, Zwiers A, Verwer BJ, van Hoek B, van Erpecum KJ, *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies variants associated with autoimmune hepatitis type 1. Gastroenterology 2014;147:443-52.e5.
- 69. Kawa S, Ota M, Yoshizawa K, Horiuchi A, Hamano H, Ochi Y, et al. HLA DRB10405-DQB10401 haplotype is associated with autoimmune pancreatitis in the Japanese population. Gastroenterology

2002;122:1264-9.

- Makol A, Watt KD, Chowdhary VR. Autoimmune hepatitis: A review of current diagnosis and treatment. Hepat Res Treat 2011;2011:390916.
- Sonal C, Michael M, Daniele T, Paolo R. Autoimmune polyendocrinopathy-candidiasis-ectodermal dystrophy. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol 2012;5:18-22.
- European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines: Management of cholestatic liver diseases. J Hepatol 2009;5:237-67.
- Czaja AJ. Cholestatic phenotypes of autoimmune hepatitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;12:1430-8.
- Chazouillères O, Wendum D, Serfaty L, Montembault S, Rosmorduc O, Poupon R, *et al.* Primary biliary cirrhosis-autoimmune hepatitis overlap syndrome: Clinical features and response to therapy. Hepatology 1998;28:296-301.
- Kuiper EM, Zondervan PE, van Buuren HR. Paris criteria are effective in diagnosis of primary biliary cirrhosis and autoimmune hepatitis overlap syndrome. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010;8:530-4.
- Kenny RP, Czaja AJ, Ludwig J, Dickson ER. Frequency and significance of antimitochondrial antibodies in severe chronic active hepatitis. Dig Dis Sci 1986;31:705-11.
- Gohlke F, Lohse AW, Dienes HP, Löhr H, Märker-Hermann E, Gerken G, *et al.* Evidence for an overlap syndrome of autoimmune hepatitis and primary sclerosing cholangitis. J Hepatol 1996;24:699-705.
- Gheorghe L, Iacob S, Gheorghe C, Iacob R, Simionov I, Vadan R, et al. Frequency and predictive factors for overlap syndrome between autoimmune hepatitis and primary cholestatic liver disease. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004;16:585-92.
- Gregorio GV, Portmann B, Karani J, Harrison P, Donaldson PT, Vergani D, *et al.* Autoimmune hepatitis/sclerosing cholangitis overlap syndrome in childhood: A 16-year prospective study. Hepatology 2001;33:544-53.
- Abdo AA, Bain VG, Kichian K, Lee SS. Evolution of autoimmune hepatitis to primary sclerosing cholangitis: A sequential syndrome. Hepatology 2002;36:1393-9.
- 81. Floreani A, Rizzotto ER, Ferrara F, Carderi I, Caroli D, Blasone L, *et al.* Clinical course and outcome of autoimmune hepatitis/primary sclerosing cholangitis overlap syndrome. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100:1516-22.
- Zenouzi R, Lohse AW. Long-term outcome in PSC/AIH "overlap syndrome": Does immunosuppression also treat the PSC component? J Hepatol 2014;61:1189-91.
- Björnsson E, Talwalkar J, Treeprasertsuk S, Kamath PS, Takahashi N, Sanderson S, *et al.* Drug-induced autoimmune hepatitis: Clinical characteristics and prognosis. Hepatology 2010;51:2040-8.
- Clemente MG, Meloni A, Obermayer-Straub P, Frau F, Manns MP, De Virgiliis S, *et al.* Two cytochromes P450 are major hepatocellular autoantigens in autoimmune polyglandular syndrome type 1. Gastroenterology 1998;114:324-8.
- Teitelbaum JE, Perez-Atayde AR, Cohen M, Bousvaros A, Jonas MM. Minocycline-related autoimmune hepatitis: Case series and literature review. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1998;152:1132-6.
- Sherigar JM, Fazio R, Zuang M, Arsura E. Autoimmune hepatitis induced by nitrofurantoin. The importance of the autoantibodies for an early diagnosis of immune disease. Clin Pract 2012;2:e83.
- 87. Czaja AJ. Drug-induced autoimmune-like hepatitis. Dig Dis Sci 2011;56:958-76.
- Yeong TT, Lim KH, Goubet S, Parnell N, Verma S. Natural history and outcomes in drug-induced autoimmune hepatitis. Hepatol Res 2016;46:E79-88.
- Kalix P. Cathinone, a natural amphetamine. Pharmacol Toxicol 1992;70:77-86.
- Peevers CG, Moorghen M, Collins PL, Gordon FH, McCune CA. Liver disease and cirrhosis because of khat chewing in UK Somali men: A case series. Liver Int 2010;30:1242-3.
- 91. Riyaz S, Imran M, Gleeson D, Karajeh MA. Khat (Catha edulis) as a

possible cause of autoimmune hepatitis. World J Hepatol 2014;6:150-4.

- Chapman MH, Kajihara M, Borges G, O'Beirne J, Patch D, Dhillon AP, et al. Severe, acute liver injury and Khat leaves. N Engl J Med 2010;362:1642-4.
- Roelandt P, George C, d'Heygere F, Aerts R, Monbaliu D, Laleman W, et al. Acute liver failure secondary to khat (Catha edulis)-induced necrotic hepatitis requiring liver transplantation: Case report. Transplant Proc 2011;43:3493-5.
- Akbar HO, Fallatah HI. Qat chewing and autoimmune hepatitis: True association or coincidence. Middle East J Fam Med 2010;8:6-9.
- Strassburg CP, Manns MP. Autoimmune hepatitis versus viral hepatitis C. Liver 1995;15:225-32.
- Al-Hamoudi WK. Severe autoimmune hepatitis triggered by varicella zoster infection. World J Gastroenterol 2009;15:1004-6.
- Schalm SW, Korman MG, Summerskill WH, Czaja AJ, Baggenstoss AH. Severe chronic active liver disease. Prognostic significance of initial morphologic patterns. Am J Dig Dis 1977;22:973-80.
- Soloway RD, Summerskill WH, Baggenstoss AH, Geall MG, Gitnićk GL, Elveback IR, *et al.* Clinical, biochemical, and histological remission of severe chronic active liver disease: A controlled study of treatments and early prognosis. Gastroenterology 1972;63:820-33.
- Murray-Lyon IM, Stern RB, Williams R. Controlled trial of prednisone and azathioprine in active chronic hepatitis. Lancet 1973;1:735-7.
- Feld JJ, Dinh H, Arenovich T, Marcus VA, Wanless IR, Heathcote EJ, et al. Autoimmune hepatitis: Effect of symptoms and cirrhosis on natural history and outcome. Hepatology 2005;42:53-62.
- 101. Trivedi PJ, Hirschfield GM. Treatment of autoimmune liver disease: Current and future therapeutic options. Ther Adv Chronic Dis 2013;4:119-41.
- 102. Lamers MM, van Oijen MG, Pronk M, Drenth JP. Treatment options for autoimmune hepatitis: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hepatol 2010;53:191-8.
- 103. Manns MP, Woynarowski M, Kreisel W, Lurie Y, Rust C, Zuckerman E, et al. Budesonide induces remission more effectively than prednisone in a controlled trial of patients with autoimmune hepatitis. Gastroenterology 2010;139:1198-206.
- 104. Lohse AW, Mieli-Vergani G. Autoimmune hepatitis. J Hepatol 2011;55:171-82.
- 105. Kanzler S, Gerken G, Löhr H, Galle PR, Meyer zum Büschenfelde KH, Lohse AW, *et al.* Duration of immunosuppressive therapy in autoimmune hepatitis. J Hepatol 2001;34:354-5.
- Stellon AJ, Keating JJ, Johnson PJ, McFarlane IG, Williams R. Maintenance of remission in autoimmune chronic active hepatitis with azathioprine after corticosteroid withdrawal. Hepatology 1988;8:781-4.
- Johnson PJ, McFarlane IG, Williams R. Azathioprine for long-term maintenance of remission in autoimmune hepatitis. N Engl J Med 1995;333:958-63.
- Hegarty JE, Nouri Aria KT, Portmann B, Eddleston AL, Williams R. Relapse following treatment withdrawal in patients with autoimmune chronic active hepatitis. Hepatology 1983;3:685-9.
- Czaja AJ, Menon KV, Carpenter HA. Sustained remission after corticosteroid therapy for type 1 autoimmune hepatitis: A retrospective analysis. Hepatology 2002;35:890-7.
- Hartl J, Denzer U, Ehlken H, Zenouzi R, Peiseler M, Sebode M, et al. Transient elastography in autoimmune hepatitis: Timing determines the impact of inflammation and fibrosis. J Hepatol 2016;65:769-75.
- Czaja AJ, Freese DK; American Association for the Study of Liver Disease. Diagnosis and treatment of autoimmune hepatitis. Hepatology 2002;36:479-97.
- 112. Montano Loza AJ, Czaja AJ. Current therapy for autoimmune hepatitis. Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;4:202-14.
- 113. Montano-Loza AJ, Carpenter HA, Czaja AJ. Improving the end point of corticosteroid therapy in type 1 autoimmune hepatitis to reduce the frequency of relapse. Am J Gastroenterol 2007;102:1005-12.
- Heneghan MA, McFarlane IG. Current and novel immunosuppressive therapy for autoimmune hepatitis. Hepatology 2002;35:7-13.

- 115. Manns MP, Strassburg CP. Therapeutic strategies for autoimmune hepatitis. Dig Dis 2011;29:411-5.
- Lammert C, Loy VM, Oshima K, Gawrieh S. Management of difficult cases of autoimmune hepatitis. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2016;18:9.
- 117. Czaja AJ. Treatment strategies in autoimmune hepatitis. Clin Liver Dis 2002;6:799-824.
- Czaja AJ, Beaver SJ, Shiels MT. Sustained remission after corticosteroid therapy of severe hepatitis B surface antigen-negative chronic active hepatitis. Gastroenterology 1987;92:215-9.
- Schalm SW, Ammon HV, Summerskill WH. Failure of customary treatment in chronic active liver disease: Causes and management. Ann Clin Res 1976;8:221-7.
- Montano-Loza AJ, Carpenter HA, Czaja AJ. Features associated with treatment failure in type 1 autoimmune hepatitis and predictive value of the model of end-stage liver disease. Hepatology 2007;46:1138-45.
- 121. Czaja AJ, Carpenter HA. Empiric therapy of autoimmune hepatitis with mycophenolate mofetil: Comparison with conventional treatment for refractory disease. J Clin Gastroenterol 2005;39:819-25.
- 122. Czaja AJ, Wolf AM, Baggenstoss AH. Laboratory assessment of severe chronic active liver disease during and after corticosteroid therapy: Correlation of serum transaminase and gamma globulin levels with histologic features. Gastroenterology 1981;80:687-92.
- 123. Verma S, Gunuwan B, Mendler M, Govindrajan S, Redeker A. Factors predicting relapse and poor outcome in type I autoimmune hepatitis: Role of cirrhosis development, patterns of transaminases during remission and plasma cell activity in the liver biopsy. Am J Gastroenterol 2004;99:1510-6.
- 124. Al-Chalabi T, Heneghan MA. Remission in autoimmune hepatitis: What is it, and can it ever be achieved? Am J Gastroenterol 2007;102:1013-5.
- 125. Czaja AJ, Ludwig J, Baggenstoss AH, Wolf A. Corticosteroid-treated chronic active hepatitis in remission: Uncertain prognosis of chronic persistent hepatitis. N Engl J Med 1981;304:5-9.
- 126. Hoeroldt B, McFarlane E, Dube A, Basumani P, Karajeh M, Campbell MJ, et al. Long-term outcomes of patients with autoimmune hepatitis managed at a nontransplant center. Gastroenterology 2011;140:1980-9.
- 127. Montano-Loza AJ, Carpenter HA, Czaja AJ. Consequences of treatment withdrawal in type 1 autoimmune hepatitis. Liver Int 2007;27:507-15.
- 128. Yeoman AD, Westbrook RH, Zen Y, Maninchedda P, Portmann BC, Devlin J, *et al.* Early predictors of corticosteroid treatment failure in icteric presentations of autoimmune hepatitis. Hepatology 2011;53:926-34.
- 129. Yeoman AD, Westbrook RH, Zen Y, Bernal W, Al-Chalabi T, Wendon JA, et al. Prognosis of acute severe autoimmune hepatitis (AS-AIH): The role of corticosteroids in modifying outcome. J Hepatol 2014;61:876-82.
- Ichai P, Duclos-Vallée JC, Guettier C, Hamida SB, Antonini T, Delvart V, *et al.* Usefulness of corticosteroids for the treatment of severe and fulminant forms of autoimmune hepatitis. Liver Transpl 2007;13:996-1003.
- Tan P, Marotta P, Ghent C, Adams P. Early treatment response predicts the need for liver transplantation in autoimmune hepatitis. Liver Int 2005;25:728-33.
- 132. Van Thiel DH, Wright H, Carroll P, Abu-Elmagd K, Rodriguez-Rilo H, McMichael J, et al. Tacrolimus: A potential new treatment for autoimmune chronic active hepatitis: Results of an open-label preliminary trial. Am J Gastroenterol 1995;90:771-6.
- 133. Kanzler S, Gerken G, Dienes HP, Meyer zum Büschenfelde KH, Lohse AW. Cyclophosphamide as alternative immunosuppressive therapy for autoimmune hepatitis – Report of three cases. Z Gastroenterol 1997;35:571-8.
- 134. Luxon BA. Diagnosis and treatment of autoimmune hepatitis. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2008;37:461-78, vii-viii.
- 135. Gleeson D, Heneghan MA; British Society of Gastroenterology. British society of gastroenterology (BSG) guidelines for management of

autoimmune hepatitis. Gut 2011;60:1611-29.

- Yeoman AD, Longhi MS, Heneghan MA. Review article: The modern management of autoimmune hepatitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2010;31:771-87.
- 137. Summerskill WH, Korman MG, Ammon HV, Baggenstoss AH. Prednisone for chronic active liver disease: Dose titration, standard dose, and combination with azathioprine compared. Gut 1975;16:876-83.
- 138. Francque S, Vonghia L, Ramon A, Michielsen P. Epidemiology and treatment of autoimmune hepatitis. Hepat Med 2012;4:1-10.
- Czaja AJ. Safety issues in the management of autoimmune hepatitis. Expert Opin Drug Saf 2008;7:319-33.
- 140. Landeira G, Morise S, Fassio E, Ramonet M, Alvarez E, Caglio P, et al. Effect of cirrhosis at baseline on the outcome of type 1 autoimmune hepatitis. Ann Hepatol 2012;11:100-6.
- 141. Yeoman AD, Al-Chalabi T, Karani JB, Quaglia A, Devlin J, Mieli-Vergani G, *et al.* Evaluation of risk factors in the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in autoimmune hepatitis: Implications for follow-up and screening. Hepatology 2008;48:863-70.
- 142. Bonazzi PR, Bacchella T, Freitas AC, Osaki KT, Lopes MH, Freire MP, et al. Double-dose hepatitis B vaccination in cirrhotic patients on a liver transplant waiting list. Braz J Infect Dis 2008;12:306-9.
- CDC. Liver Disease and Adult Vaccination. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/adults/rec-vac/health-conditions/ liver-disease.html. [Last accessed on 2017 Oct 25].
- 144. Zachou K, Gatselis NK, Arvaniti P, Gabeta S, Rigopoulou EI, Koukoulis GK, *et al.* A real-world study focused on the long-term efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil as first-line treatment of autoimmune hepatitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016;43:1035-47.
- 145. Geier A, Gartung C, Dietrich CG, Wasmuth HE, Reinartz P, Matern S, et al. Side effects of budesonide in liver cirrhosis due to chronic autoimmune hepatitis: Influence of hepatic metabolism versus portosystemic shunts on a patient complicated with HCC. World J Gastroenterol 2003;9:2681-5.
- Czaja AJ, Carpenter HA. Thiopurine methyltransferase deficiency and azathioprine intolerance in autoimmune hepatitis. Dig Dis Sci 2006;51:968-75.
- Ge PS, Runyon BA. Treatment of patients with cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 2016;375:767-77.
- Ngu JH, Gearry RB, Frampton CM, Stedman CA. Predictors of poor outcome in patients with autoimmune hepatitis: A population-based study. Hepatology 2013;57:2399-406.
- 149. Wang Z, Sheng L, Yang Y, Yang F, Xiao X, Hua J, et al. The management of autoimmune hepatitis patients with decompensated cirrhosis: Real-world experience and a comprehensive review. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2017;52:424-35.
- 150. Buchman AL. Side effects of corticosteroid therapy. J Clin Gastroenterol 2001;33:289-94.
- Czaja AJ, Carpenter HA. Distinctive clinical phenotype and treatment outcome of type 1 autoimmune hepatitis in the elderly. Hepatology 2006;43:532-8.
- 152. Ardawi MS, Maimany AA, Bahksh TM, Nasrat HA, Milaat WA, Al-Raddadi RM, *et al.* Bone mineral density of the spine and femur in healthy Saudis. Osteoporos Int 2005;16:43-55.
- Seibel MJ, Cooper MS, Zhou H. Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: Mechanisms, management, and future perspectives. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2013;1:59-70.
- 154. Al-Saleh Y, Sulimani R, Sabico S, Raef H, Fouda M, Alshahrani F, et al. 2015 guidelines for osteoporosis in Saudi Arabia: Recommendations from the Saudi osteoporosis society. Ann Saudi Med 2015;35:1-2.
- 155. Terrabuio DR, Abrantes-Lemos CP, Carrilho FJ, Cançado EL. Follow-up of pregnant women with autoimmune hepatitis: The disease behavior along with maternal and fetal outcomes. J Clin Gastroenterol 2009;43:350-6.
- 156. Westbrook RH, Yeoman AD, Kriese S, Heneghan MA. Outcomes of pregnancy in women with autoimmune hepatitis. J Autoimmun

2012;38:J239-44.

- 157. Braga AC, Vasconcelos C, Braga J. Pregnancy with autoimmune hepatitis. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench 2016;9:220-4.
- Adams Waldorf KM, Nelson JL. Autoimmune disease during pregnancy and the microchimerism legacy of pregnancy. Immunol Invest 2008;37:631-44.
- Buchel E, Van Steenbergen W, Nevens F, Fevery J. Improvement of autoimmune hepatitis during pregnancy followed by flare-up after delivery. Am J Gastroenterol 2002;97:3160-5.
- Werner M, Björnsson E, Prytz H, Lindgren S, Almer S, Broomé U, et al. Autoimmune hepatitis among fertile women: Strategies during pregnancy and breastfeeding? Scand J Gastroenterol 2007;42:986-91.
- 161. Schramm C, Herkel J, Beuers U, Kanzler S, Galle PR, Lohse AW, et al. Pregnancy in autoimmune hepatitis: Outcome and risk factors. Am J Gastroenterol 2006;101:556-60.
- Azathioprine Label, US-FDA. Available from: https://www.accessdata. fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2014/016324s037,017391s016lbl. pdf. [Last accessed on 2017 Mar 13].
- 163. Akbari M, Shah S, Velayos FS, Mahadevan U, Cheifetz AS. Systematic review and meta-analysis on the effects of thiopurines on birth outcomes from female and male patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2013;19:15-22.
- Czaja AJ. Acute and acute severe (fulminant) autoimmune hepatitis. Dig Dis Sci 2013;58:897-914.
- 165. Miyake Y, Iwasaki Y, Terada R, Onishi T, Okamoto R, Sakai N, et al. Clinical characteristics of fulminant-type autoimmune hepatitis: An analysis of eleven cases. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006;23:1347-53.
- 166. Mendizabal M, Marciano S, Videla MG, Anders M, Zerega A, Balderramo DC, *et al.* Fulminant presentation of autoimmune hepatitis: Clinical features and early predictors of corticosteroid treatment failure. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;27:644-8.
- 167. Kogure T, Ueno Y, Fukushima K, Nagasaki F, Inoue J, Kakazu E, et al. Fulminant hepatic failure in a case of autoimmune hepatitis in hepatitis C during peg-interferon-alpha 2b plus ribavirin treatment. World J Gastroenterol 2007;13:4394-7.
- 168. Ramakrishna J, Johnson AR, Banner BF. Long-term minocycline use for acne in healthy adolescents can cause severe autoimmune hepatitis. J Clin Gastroenterol 2009;43:787-90.
- 169. Peedikayil MC, Dahhan TI, Al Ashgar HI. Nitrofurantoin-induced fulminant hepatitis mimicking autoimmune hepatitis. Ann Pharmacother 2006;40:1888-9.
- 170. Chevret L, Boutolleau D, Halimi-Idri N, Branchereau S, Baujard C, Fabre M, *et al.* Human herpesvirus-6 infection: A prospective study evaluating HHV-6 DNA levels in liver from children with acute liver failure. J Med Virol 2008;80:1051-7.
- 171. Fujiwara K, Yasui S, Tawada A, Fukuda Y, Nakano M, Yokosuka O, et al. Diagnostic value and utility of the simplified international autoimmune hepatitis group criteria in acute-onset autoimmune hepatitis. Liver Int 2011;31:1013-20.
- 172. Kessler WR, Cummings OW, Eckert G, Chalasani N, Lumeng L, Kwo PY, *et al.* Fulminant hepatic failure as the initial presentation of acute autoimmune hepatitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004;2:625-31.
- 173. Karkhanis J, Verna EC, Chang MS, Stravitz RT, Schilsky M, Lee WM, et al. Steroid use in acute liver failure. Hepatology 2014;59:612-21.
- 174. Czaja AJ. Corticosteroids or not in severe acute or fulminant autoimmune hepatitis: Therapeutic brinksmanship and the point beyond salvation. Liver Transpl 2007;13:953-5.
- Tripathi D, Neuberger J. Autoimmune hepatitis and liver transplantation: Indications, results, and management of recurrent disease. Semin Liver Dis 2009;29:286-96.
- 176. Adam R, Karam V, Delvart V, O'Grady J, Mirza D, Klempnauer J, et al. Evolution of indications and results of liver transplantation in Europe. A report from the European liver transplant registry (ELTR). J Hepatol 2012;57:675-88.
- 177. Seaberg EC, Belle SH, Beringer KC, Schivins JL, Detre KM. Liver

transplantation in the United States from 1987-1998: Updated results from the Pitt-UNOS liver transplant registry. Clin Transpl 1998;17-37.

- Al Sebayel M, Abaalkhail F, Al Abbad S, AlBahili H, Elsiesy H, Aleid M, et al. Liver transplantation in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Liver Transpl 2017;23:1312-7.
- 179. Ratziu V, Samuel D, Sebagh M, Farges O, Saliba F, Ichai P, *et al.* Long-term follow-up after liver transplantation for autoimmune hepatitis: Evidence of recurrence of primary disease. J Hepatol 1999;30:131-41.
- Kerkar N, Yanni G. 'De novo' and 'recurrent' autoimmune hepatitis after liver transplantation: A comprehensive review. J Autoimmun 2016;66:17-24.
- Krishnamoorthy TL, Miezynska-Kurtycz J, Hodson J, Gunson BK, Neuberger J, Milkiewicz P, et al. Longterm corticosteroid use after liver

transplantation for autoimmune hepatitis is safe and associated with a lower incidence of recurrent disease. Liver Transpl 2016;22:34-41.

- 182. Montano-Loza AJ, Mason AL, Ma M, Bastiampillai RJ, Bain VG, Tandon P, *et al.* Risk factors for recurrence of autoimmune hepatitis after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 2009;15:1254-61.
- 183. Tanaka T, Sugawara Y, Kokudo N. Liver transplantation and autoimmune hepatitis. Intractable Rare Dis Res 2015;4:33-8.
- Montano-Loza AJ, Bhanji RA, Wasilenko S, Mason AL. Systematic review: Recurrent autoimmune liver diseases after liver transplantation. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2017;45:485-500.
- 185. Montano-Loza AJ, Vargas-Vorackova F, Ma M, Bain VG, Burak K, Kumar T, *et al.* Incidence and risk factors associated with *de novo* autoimmune hepatitis after liver transplantation. Liver Int 2012;32:1426-33.

